

J.3.7 Friends of the Gorge Campaign Form Letter

Table J.3-7 tabulates all individuals who submitted comments via the Friends of the Gorge Campaign form letter along with the comment document identifiers assigned to each. One representative letter (Anderson, Barbara, Comment Document ID No. W231) was used to identify the comments. The comments are identified in brackets on the left side of the page, and the corresponding responses are shown on the right side. All other comment letters resemble the representative letter. The representative letter, comments identified in that letter, responses, and all the other comment documents received for this campaign are presented here in Section J.3.7 on pages J-2080 through J-2463, as indicated in the table.

TABLE J.3-7 Individuals Who Submitted Comments via the Friends of the Gorge Campaign Form Letter

Last Name, First Name	Comment Document ID No.	Starting Page No.
Anderson, Barbara*	W231	J-2080
Anderson, Judy	W438	J-2082
Anderson, Lyle	W131	J-2084
Arndt, Natalie	W371	J-2086
Askins, Susanna	W207	J-2087
Askins, Susanna	W373	J-2089
Bagatta, Joanna	W254	J-2091
Baker, Melissa	W308	J-2093
Baker, Nathan	W312	J-2094
Banks, Adrienne	W151	J-2096
Beinlich, Brian	W244	J-2098
Bennett, Henry	W359	J-2100
Berthold, Dana	W440	J-2102
Birch, Stacy	W431	J-2104
Blanke, Sarah	W313	J-2106
Booth, David	W149	J-2108
Bradley, C.	W306	J-2110
Bronson, Charles	W411	J-2112
Brown, Keith	W413	J-2114
Buhl, Carolyn	W135	J-2116
Bushman, Gary	W333	J-2118
Cadwell, Jillian	W291	J-2120
Carroll, Justin	W369	J-2121
Chabot, Jamison	W385	J-2123
Chase, James	W113	J-2125
Clifton, Merle	W93	J-2127
Colasurdo, Christine	W109	J-2129
Coles, Vivian	W186	J-2130
Collins, Alan	W100	J-2132
Combe, Emile	W107	J-2134
Combe, Emile	W361	J-2136
Cooper, Chris	W330	J-2138

TABLE J.3-7 (Cont.)

Last Name, First Name	Comment Document ID No.	Starting Page No.
Craig, Edward	W377	J-2140
Cullen, Kacy	W226	J-2142
De Leon, Brittany	W211	J-2144
Demuth, Lupin	W97	J-2146
Dickson, Michele	W134	J-2148
Dunn, Huelo	W178	J-2150
Dunn-Dixon, Jennie Sue	W161	J-2152
Erickson, Steven	W357	J-2154
Ernst, Susan	W251	J-2156
Fenker, John	W155	J-2158
Gilbert, Anna	W80	J-2160
Gohl, Joy	W91	J-2162
Goodwin, William	W213	J-2163
Graser-Lindsey, Elizabeth	W233	J-2165
Gridley, John	W454	J-2166
Grigsby, Sara	W422	J-2168
Gundersen, Daniel	W218	J-2170
Hafer, Sarah	W216	J-2172
Hellickson, Terry	W202	J-2174
Hermesen, Laurence	W172	J-2176
Hochendoner, Kelly	W405	J-2178
Holzman, Deanne	W260	J-2180
Honeyman, Usha	W286	J-2182
Hopkins, Tom	W304	J-2184
Hornung, Tracie	W158	J-2186
Hubard, Ann	W152	J-2188
Hughes, Eleanor	W147	J-2190
Hulbert, Dawn	W331	J-2192
Jackson, Aria	W146	J-2194
Jackson, Nathan	W105	J-2196
Jacobson, Rich	W263	J-2198
Jeka, Kymberly	W112	J-2200
Jelen, Jonathan	W256	J-2202
Johnson, Stephen	W177	J-2204
Kaminker, Matthew	W176	J-2206
Kay, Joel	W157	J-2208
Kelly, Tim	W566	J-2209
Keys, Thomas	W156	J-2210
Keys, Thomas	W375	J-2212
Kirkland, John	W275	J-2214
Kirkland, John	W83	J-2216
Kofler, Roger	W225	J-2218
Kolberg, Vicki	W200	J-2220
Kramer-Dodd, Gay	W279	J-2223
Kutschera, Elynnne	W269	J-2225
Lamberger, Dan	W329	J-2227
Leggatt, Joyce	W424	J-2229

TABLE J.3-7 (Cont.)

Last Name, First Name	Comment Document ID No.	Starting Page No.
Lief, Charles	W368	J-2231
Lienhard, Judith	W102	J-2233
Lloyd, Darryl	W181	J-2235
Long, Meredith	W332	J-2237
Lovejoy, Patricia	W318	J-2239
Lyda, Mary	W175	J-2241
Maney, Trudy	W295	J-2243
Markey, Jeff	W116	J-2245
Martin Jr, Ron	W148	J-2247
Masin, Susan	W372	J-2249
McClain, Mary	W143	J-2251
McClay, Mauria	W98	J-2253
McComb, Melinda	W423	J-2254
McConnell, Constance	W281	J-2256
McCracken, Gloria	W277	J-2258
McDonald, Cheri	W432	J-2260
McGowan, Wendy	W435	J-2262
McKamey, Will	W235	J-2264
Meyer, Emily	W287	J-2266
Miller, Jacquelyn	W123	J-2268
Miller, Michelle	W442	J-2270
Milne, Laura	W529	J-2272
Minick, David	W268	J-2274
Monial, Sara	W391	J-2276
Morris, Julie	W241	J-2278
Mullis, Lisa	W227	J-2280
Neer, Steven	W230	J-2282
Nettleton, John	W303	J-2283
Neuendorf, Mary	W255	J-2285
Neulist, Susan	W118	J-2287
Oliveri, Christy	W232	J-2289
Palmer, Gayle	W238	J-2291
Pantely, George	W283	J-2293
Parker, John	W167	J-2295
Petersen, Larry	W168	J-2297
Petersen, Larry	W382	J-2298
Peterson, Kathryn	W236	J-2300
Platner, Nancy	W383	J-2301
Polk, Nora	W356	J-2303
Pollock, Nina	W265	J-2305
Popiela, Hank	W114	J-2307
Porch, Delores	W252	J-2309
Porch, Delores	W401	J-2311
Prentiss, Alex	W92	J-2313
Priest, Madeline	W81	J-2315
Provost, Pierre	W201	J-2317
Putnam, Lynn	W125	J-2319

TABLE J.3-7 (Cont.)

Last Name, First Name	Comment Document ID No.	Starting Page No.
Rabin, Michelle	W215	J-2321
Ray, Richard	W259	J-2323
Reedijk, Linda	W187	J-2325
Robbins, Teresa	W412	J-2327
Rossmann, Penny	W299	J-2329
Sanda, Clyde	W212	J-2331
Sawaya, Linda	W184	J-2333
Seil, Fredrick	W300	J-2335
Shapiro, David	W242	J-2337
Sharpe, Kathryn	W415	J-2339
Sherer, Janice	W180	J-2341
Simonsen, John	W133	J-2343
Stone, Catherine	W247	J-2345
Stone, Linda	W126	J-2347
Stuart, Kate	W557	J-2349
Summersett, Sophia	W362	J-2351
Till, Richard	W549	J-2353
Till, Rick	W325	J-2356
Vance, Anne	W85	J-2357
Vaughan, Ron	W243	J-2359
Vayu, Satya	W395	J-2361
Vayu, Satya	W292	J-2363
Walicki, Joe	W272	J-2365
Warneke, Lothar & Carole	W162	J-2367
Watters, Ann	W250	J-2369
Watters, Ann	W447	J-2371
Wehrley, Donna	W453	J-2373
Weigel, Richard	W104	J-2375
West, Jack	W326	J-2377
White, William	W398	J-2379
Whitecotton, Robbie	W436	J-2381
Williams, Sandra	W224	J-2383
Wilson, Katrina	W360	J-2385
Wilson, Michael	W120	J-2387
Wood, John & Polly	W103	J-2389
Wood, John & Polly	W163	J-2391
Wood, John & Polly	W410	J-2393
Wood, John & Polly	W84	J-2395
Wood, Polly	W452	J-2397
Wood, John	W87	J-2399
Woolpert, Steven	W343	J-2401
Young, Patricia	W376	J-2403
Zachman, John	W219	J-2406
Zettergren, Judy	W136	J-2408
Zimmerman, Joni	W101	J-2410
Zucker, Marguery	W421	J-2412
Name Withheld	W99	J-2414
Name Withheld	W110	J-2416

TABLE J.3-7 (Cont.)

Last Name, First Name	Comment Document ID No.	Starting Page No.
Name Withheld	W132	J-2418
Name Withheld	W141	J-2420
Name Withheld	W144	J-2422
Name Withheld	W174	J-2424
Name Withheld	W179	J-2426
Name Withheld	W191	J-2428
Name Withheld	W193	J-2430
Name Withheld	W220	J-2432
Name Withheld	W221	J-2433
Name Withheld	W228	J-2435
Name Withheld	W237	J-2436
Name Withheld	W239	J-2438
Name Withheld	W249	J-2439
Name Withheld	W261	J-2441
Name Withheld	W282	J-2443
Name Withheld	W314	J-2445
Name Withheld	W324	J-2447
Name Withheld	W366	J-2449
Name Withheld	W386	J-2451
Name Withheld	W387	J-2453
Name Withheld	W403	J-2455
Name Withheld	W426	J-2456
Name Withheld	W439	J-2458
Name Withheld	W469	J-2460
Name Withheld	W519	J-2462

* Anderson, Barbara (Comment Document ID No. W231) is the representative letter.

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Barbara, Commenter ID No. W231
(Representative Letter)

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:55 AM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10231

Thank you for your comment, barbara Anderson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10231. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:54:26AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10231

First Name: barbara
Last Name: Anderson
Address: 1500 SW 5th #2505
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97201
Country: USA
Email: gilpinwood@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Anderson, Barbara - W231

W231-1 Consistent with NEPA implementing regulations in Parts 1500–1508 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500–1508), DOE analyzed the range of available disposal methods (i.e., geologic repository, near-surface trench, intermediate-depth borehole, and above-grade vault) and federally owned sites (i.e., Hanford Site, INL, LANL, NNS, SRS, WIPP, and the WIPP Vicinity) as well as generic commercial locations. DOE determined that it was reasonable to analyze the federal sites because they currently have operating radioactive waste disposal facilities, except for the WIPP Vicinity, which is near an operating geologic repository.

W231-2 DOE is performing environmental restoration activities at the Hanford Site and the ongoing cleanup efforts will continue. As stated in the Hanford TC&WM EIS, the receipt of offsite waste streams (including GTCC LLRW) that contain specific amounts of certain isotopes, specifically iodine-129 and technetium-99, could cause an adverse impact on the environment. When the impacts of technetium-99 from past leaks and cribs are combined, DOE believes it may not be prudent to add significant additional technetium-99 to the existing environment. Therefore, one means of mitigating the impact would be for DOE to limit disposal of off-site waste streams containing iodine-129 or technetium-99 at Hanford. DOE's ROD 78 FR 75913 dated December 13, 2013, stated that DOE has deferred a decision on importing waste from other DOE sites (with limited exceptions as described in the Settlement Agreement with Ecology) for disposal at Hanford at least until WTP is operational. These factors were considered in developing DOE's preferred alternative for the disposal of GTCC LLRW and GTCC LLW, as discussed in Chapter 2 of the GTCC EIS.

There is a relatively small amount of waste which could be transported through the Columbia River Gorge regardless of the final decision as to the disposal site selected for GTCC LLRW. The waste would include actinide sealed sources and Cs-137 irradiators from local medical institutions, research facilities, universities, and other NRC and Agreement State licensees.

A number of commenters indicated they believed shipping offsite waste would result in 800 LCFs. This value for transportation risk does not exist in this GTCC EIS. DOE believes that the value of approximately 800 LCFs, cited in the public comments, is from the results provided in the *Draft Global Nuclear Energy Partnership Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (GNEP PEIS)* regarding transportation of spent nuclear fuel (SNF) and HLW. This value represents the maximum impacts associated with 50 years of transportation activities supporting the operations of all existing U.S. commercial light-water reactors if they all were replaced with high-temperature, gas-cooled reactors. The *GNEP PEIS* was canceled by DOE on June 29, 2009 (74 FR 31017).

W231-3 DOE's ROD 78 FR 75913 dated December 13, 2013, stated that DOE has deferred a decision on importing waste from other DOE sites (with limited exceptions as described in the Settlement Agreement with the State of Washington Department of Ecology) for disposal at Hanford at least until WTP is operational.

If DOE decides to implement its preferred alternative for the TC&WM EIS, GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes would not be shipped through the Columbia River Gorge for disposal at the Hanford Site until the waste treatment plant is operational. However, regardless of where the GTCC waste disposal facility is ultimately located, a relatively small amount of GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes may be transported through the Columbia River Gorge on their way to the disposal facility. The waste would be generated within the states of Oregon and Washington and would include actinide sealed sources and Cs-137 irradiators from local medical institutions, research facilities, universities, and other NRC and Agreement State licensees.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Barbara, Commenter ID No. W231 (cont'd)
(Representative Letter)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

W231-3
(Cont.)

The transportation of radioactive waste will meet or exceed DOT and NRC regulatory requirements that promote the protection of human health and the environment. These regulations include requirements for radioactive materials packaging, marking, labeling, placarding, shipping papers, and highway routing. The waste shipments would be on preferred routes, which are interstate highways or alternative routes designated by a state routing agency in accordance with DOT regulations (49 CFR Part 397, Subpart D). The GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes would be shipped in approved waste packages and transportation casks. The robust nature of these casks limits the potential release of radioactive and chemically hazardous material under the severest of accident conditions. It is unlikely that the transportation of GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes to any of the alternative sites evaluated in the EIS would cause an additional fatality as a result of radiation from either incident-free transportation or postulated transportation accidents.

The EIS evaluated the transportation impacts from the shipments that would be required to dispose of all of the GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes at the various disposal sites. The EIS addressed the collective population risks during routine conditions and accidents, the radiological risks to the highest exposed individuals during routine conditions, and the consequences to individuals and populations as a result of transportation accidents, including those that could release radioactive or hazardous chemical materials. About 12,600 shipments would be required to transport all of the GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes to the Hanford Site for disposal. This would result in about 50 million km (30 million mi) of highway travel, with no expected LCFs. One fatality directly related to an accident might occur (see Section 6.2.9.1).

The EIS also evaluated the impact of intentional destructive acts that could occur during waste handling, transportation, and disposal (see Section 2.7.4.3 of the EIS). The potential for such destructive acts is low. DOE sites considered in the EIS are secured, and the packaging for the GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes would be robust. The GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes are not readily dispersible, and the impacts from any attempts to disperse these materials during transportation (such as the impacts from an explosive blast) would not be greater than the impacts from any potential accidental release of radioactivity. Impacts from severe natural phenomena, such as earthquakes and tornados, would not be expected to be significant, given that the GTCC LLRW and GTCC-like wastes are largely not dispersible and given the robust nature of the waste packages and containers.

DOE's standard operating procedure for transportation of radioactive waste is developed and continually revised to ensure that the utmost protection of public health and the environment is achieved and that the risk of a traffic accident is minimized. For example, DOE has established a comprehensive emergency management program (Transportation Emergency Preparedness Program or TEPP) that provides detailed, hazard specific planning and preparedness measures to minimize the health impacts from accidents involving loss of control over radioactive material or toxic chemicals. DOE's TEPP was established to ensure that its contractors and state, tribal, and local emergency responders are prepared to respond promptly, efficiently, and effectively to accidents involving DOE shipments of radioactive materials

If an accident that involved a release of radioactive material to the environment occurred, it would be remediated promptly in accordance with these procedures. These measures would help DOE minimize and mitigate any impacts on the environment.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Judy, Commenter ID No. W438

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 2:03 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10438

Thank you for your comment, Judy Anderson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10438. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 02:02:31PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10438

First Name: Judy
Middle Initial: I
Last Name: Anderson
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Judy, Commenter ID No. W438 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@nl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Lyle, Commenter ID No. W131

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:10 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10131

Thank you for your comment, Lyle Anderson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10131. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:09:58PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10131

First Name: Lyle
Last Name: Anderson
Address: 3723 Pebble Pl.
City: Bellingham
State: WA
Zip: 98226
Country: USA
Email: lyleand2@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Anderson, Lyle, Commenter ID No. W131 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccaiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Arndt, Natalie, Commenter ID No. W371

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:50 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10371

Thank you for your comment, Natalie Arndt.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10371. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:49:58PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10371

First Name: Natalie
Last Name: Arndt
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Askins, Susanna, Commenter ID No. W207

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:17 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10207

Thank you for your comment, Susanna Askins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10207. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:16:29AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10207

First Name: Susanna
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Askins
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: tlknkr@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Askins, Susanna, Commenter ID No. W207 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anf.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (530) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Askins, Susanna, Commenter ID No. W373

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:58 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10373

Thank you for your comment, Susanna Askins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10373. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:58:03PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10373

First Name: Susanna
Last Name: Askins
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Askins, Susanna - W373

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Askins, Susanna, Commenter ID No. W373 (cont'd)

Sincerely,

Susanna Askins

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@arl.gov or call the Greater-Than Class C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bagatta, Joanna, Commenter ID No. W254

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 1:00 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10254

Thank you for your comment, Joanna Bagatta.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10254. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 01:00:05PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10254

First Name: Joanna
Last Name: bagatta
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmann

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Bagatta, Joanna - W254

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bagatta, Joanna, Commenter ID No. W254 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Baker, Melissa, Commenter ID No. W308

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 5:41 PM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10308

Thank you for your comment, Melissa Baker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10308. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 18, 2011 05:41:16PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10308

First Name: Melissa
Last Name: Baker
State: OR
Zip: 97267
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Baker, Nathan, Commenter ID No. W312

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 7:37 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10312

Thank you for your comment, Nathan Baker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10312. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 18, 2011 07:37:22PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10312

First Name: Nathan
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Baker
Organization: Friends of the Columbia Gorge
Address: 522 SW 5th Avenue
Address 2: Suite 720
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97204
Country: USA
Email: nathan@gorgefriends.org
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmant

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Baker, Nathan, Commenter ID No. W312 (cont'd)

occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Banks, Adrienne, Commenter ID No. W151

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:35 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10151

Thank you for your comment, Adrienne Banks.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10151. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:35:15PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10151

First Name: Adrienne
Last Name: Banks
Address: 14835 Stagecoach
City: Sisters
State: OR
Zip: 97759
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Banks, Adrienne - W151

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Banks, Adrienne, Commenter ID No. W151 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Site map / RSS / Donate / info@gorgefriends.org / 503.241.3702 / 522 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 720, Portland Oregon, 97204 /

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccalswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Beinlich, Brian, Commenter ID No. W244

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:01 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10244

Thank you for your comment, Brian Beinlich.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10244. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:01:04PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10244

First Name: Brian
Last Name: Beinlich
Country: USA
Email: brian@beinlich.org
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Beinlich, Brian, Commenter ID No. W244 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (830) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bennett, Henry, Commenter ID No. W359

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:20 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10359

Thank you for your comment, Henry Bennett.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10359. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:19:37PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10359

First Name: Henry
Last Name: Bennett
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97214
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable. Winter weather in the Columbia River Gorge is notoriously bad with frequent ice storms and snow storms, greatly increasing the accident hazards!

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Bennett, Henry - W359

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bennett, Henry, Commenter ID No. W359 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Jeff Merkley, U.S. Senator Ron Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (650) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Berthold, Dana, Commenter ID No. W440

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 3:13 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10440

Thank you for your comment, Dana Berthold.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10440. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 03:12:43PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10440

First Name: Dana
Last Name: Berthold
City: Stevenson
State: WA
Zip: 98648
Country: USA
Email: dana.berthold@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I am a resident of the Gorge, and my mother was born at Hanford. That makes my family downstream from the effects of Hanford's toxicity in two ways.

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Berthold, Dana - W440

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Berthold, Dana, Commenter ID No. W440 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dana Berthold
Stevenson, WA

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Birch, Stacy, Commenter ID No. W431

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
 Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 11:25 AM
 To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
 Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10431

Thank you for your comment, Stacy Birch.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10431. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 11:25:12AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10431

First Name: Stacy
 Last Name: Birch
 Address: 13032 Cook Underwood Rd
 City: Underwood
 State: WA
 Zip: 98651
 Country: USA
 Email: stacybirch@yahoo.com
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Birch, Stacy, Commenter ID No. W431 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccoiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Blanke, Sarah, Commenter ID No. W313

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, June 18, 2011 11:09 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10313

Thank you for your comment, Sarah Blanke.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10313. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 18, 2011 11:08:32PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10313

First Name: Sarah
Last Name: Blanke
Address: 6436 NE 37th Ave
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97211
Country: USA
Email: sarah.blanke@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Blanke, Sarah - W313

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Blanke, Sarah, Commenter ID No. W313 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Please continue to protect the Gorge.
Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccoiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Booth, David, Commenter ID No. W149

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:18 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10149

Thank you for your comment, David Booth.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10149. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:17:43PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10149

First Name: David
Last Name: Booth
City: Rickreall
State: OR
Zip: 97371
Country: USA
Email: dbconsulting@sterling.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Below is some text I had help with, but I wanted to say how important it is that we do not commit such a valuable watershed resource to permanent nuclear storage. We should move to safe renewables ASAP and stop creating such a dangerous waste product!

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Booth, David, Commenter ID No. W149 (cont'd)

due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bradley, C., Commenter ID No. W306

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 6:55 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10306

Thank you for your comment, C Bradley.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10306. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 06:54:38PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10306

First Name: C
Last Name: Bradley
State: OR
Zip: 97031
Country: USA
Email: cbradley@bradleyaudio.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Bradley, C. - W306

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bradley, C., Commenter ID No. W306 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bronson, Charles, Commenter ID No. W411

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 10:00 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10411

Thank you for your comment, Charles Bronson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10411. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 10:00:15PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10411

First Name: Charles
Last Name: Bronson
State: WA
Zip: 98832
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bronson, Charles, Commenter ID No. W411 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Brown, Keith, Commenter ID No. W413

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 10:12 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10413

Thank you for your comment, Keith Brown.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10413. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 10:12:18PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10413

First Name: Keith
Last Name: Brown
City: Washougal
State: WA
Zip: 98671
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Brown, Keith, Commenter ID No. W413 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anf.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Buhl, Carolyn, Commenter ID No. W135

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:25 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10135

Thank you for your comment, Carolyn Buhl.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10135. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:24:46PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10135

First Name: Carolyn
Last Name: Buhl
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Buhl, Carolyn - W135

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Buhl, Carolyn, Commenter ID No. W135 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bushman, Gary, Commenter ID No. W333

From: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 12:25 PM
To: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10333

Thank you for your comment, Gary Bushman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10333. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 21, 2011 12:24:30PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10333

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Bushman
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

As a full time resident of Hood River, Oregon I would greatly appreciate you removing the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Bushman, Gary, Commenter ID No. W333 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Gary Bushman

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Cadwell, Jillian, Commenter ID No. W291

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 12:58 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10291

Thank you for your comment, Jillian Cadwell.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10291. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 12:57:30AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10291

First Name: Jillian
Last Name: Cadwell
Address: 219 Kranichwood ct
City: Richland
State: WA
Zip: 99352
Country: USA
Email: jhartfor@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Carroll, Justin, Commenter ID No. W369

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:50 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10369

Thank you for your comment, Justin Carroll.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10369. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:49:31PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10369

First Name: Justin
Last Name: Carroll
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97213
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

There has to be another way. Please do not truck radioactive waste through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.

The Columbia Gorge may be the only sea level passage through the Cascade Mountains. However, the National Scenic Area is a unique federal protected area on the order of Yellowstone National Park or the Grand Canyon. I doubt the Department of Energy would consider trucking hazardous waste through or near those national treasures.

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Carroll, Justin, Commenter ID No. W369 (cont'd)

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Chabot, Jamison, Commenter ID No. W385

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:56 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10385

Thank you for your comment, Jamison Chabot.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10385. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:55:30PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10385

First Name: Jamison
Middle Initial: P
Last Name: Chabot
State: OR
Zip: 97217
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Chabot, Jamison, Commenter ID No. W385 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best, Jamison Chabot

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: [gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov](mailto:gtcc@webmaster.anl.gov) or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Chase, James, Commenter ID No. W113

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:24 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10113

Thank you for your comment, James Chase.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10113. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:23:55PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10113

First Name: James
Last Name: Chase
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Chase, James - W113

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Chase, James, Commenter ID No. W113 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

James Chase

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Clifton, Merle, Commenter ID No. W93

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:05 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10093

Thank you for your comment, Merle Clifton.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10093. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:04:30PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10093

First Name: Merle
Last Name: Clifton
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Clifton, Merle, Commenter ID No. W93 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Merle Clifton

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Colasurdo, Christine, Commenter ID No. W109

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:20 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10109

Thank you for your comment, Christine Colasurdo.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10109. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:19:44PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10109

First Name: Christine
Last Name: Colasurdo
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Coles, Vivian, Commenter ID No. W186

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:01 AM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10186

Thank you for your comment, Vivian Coles.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10186. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:00:27AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10186

First Name: Vivian
 Last Name: Coles
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Coles, Vivian - W186

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Coles, Vivian, Commenter ID No. W186 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Collins, Alan, Commenter ID No. W100

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:07 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10100

Thank you for your comment, Alan Collins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10100. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:07:00PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10100

First Name: Alan
Last Name: Collins
Country: USA
Email: ln_scapes65@hotmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Collins, Alan, Commenter ID No. W100 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and considerations.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Combe, Emile, Commenter ID No. W107

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:19 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10107

Thank you for your comment, emile combe.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10107. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:18:19PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10107

First Name: emile
Middle Initial: h
Last Name: combe
Address: 13002 NE 5th St
City: vancouver
State: WA
Country: USA
Email: emile@worldaccessnet.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Combe, Emile, Commenter ID No. W107 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Emile H. Combe, MA, PhD
13002 NE 5th St.
Vancouver, WA 98684
509-993-9132

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Combe, Emile, Commenter ID No. W361

From: gtcciswebmaster@ani.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:26 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@ani.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10361

Thank you for your comment, emile combe.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10361. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:25:54PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10361

First Name: emile
Middle Initial: h
Last Name: combe
Address: 13002 NE 5th St
City: vancouver
State: WA
Zip: 98684
Country: USA
Email: emile@worldaccessnet.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Combe, Emile, Commenter ID No. W361 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Emile H. Combe, PhD
13002 NE 5th St.
Vancouver, WA 98684

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@nrl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Cooper, Chris, Commenter ID No. W330

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 12:39 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10330

Thank you for your comment, Chris Cooper.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10330. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 21, 2011 12:39:13AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10330

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Cooper
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Cooper, Chris, Commenter ID No. W330 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Craig, Edward, Commenter ID No. W377

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:26 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10377

Thank you for your comment, Edward Craig.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10377. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:26:09PM CDT

Greater Than Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10377

First Name: Edward
Last Name: Craig
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Craig, Edward – W377

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Craig, Edward, Commenter ID No. W377 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Cullen, Kacy, Commenter ID No. W226

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:41 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10226

Thank you for your comment, Kacy Cullen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10226. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:41:15AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10226

First Name: Kacy
Middle Initial: E
Last Name: Cullen
Address: 944 SE Harney St
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97202
Country: USA
Email: kacycullen@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Cullen, Kacy - W226

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Cullen, Kacy, Commenter ID No. W226 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
De Leon, Brittany, Commenter ID No. W211

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:38 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10211

Thank you for your comment, Brittany de Leon.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10211. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:37:41AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10211

First Name: Brittany
Last Name: de Leon
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

De Leon, Brittany -- W211

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
De Leon, Brittany, Commenter ID No. W211 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Demuth, Lupin, Commenter ID No. W97

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:07 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10097

Thank you for your comment, Lupin DeMuth.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10097. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:06:20PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10097

First Name: Lupin
Middle Initial: C
Last Name: DeMuth
Address: 512 NE 14th Ave
City: Camas
State: WA
Zip: 98607
Country: USA
Email: lupin@inkemail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Demuth, Lupin, Commenter ID No. W97 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Lupin DeMuth

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dickson, Michele, Commenter ID No. W134

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:19 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10134

Thank you for your comment, michele dickson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10134. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:19:03PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10134

First Name: michele
Last Name: dickson
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Dickson, Michele - W134

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dickson, Michele, Commenter ID No. W134 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dunn, Huelo, Commenter ID No. W178

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:26 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10178

Thank you for your comment, HUELO DUNN.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10178. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:26:18PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10178

First Name: HUELO
 Last Name: DUNN
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Dunn, Huelo - W178

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dunn, Huelo, Commenter ID No. W178 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccalswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dunn-Dixon, Jennie Sue, Commenter ID No. W161

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:03 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10161

Thank you for your comment, Jennie Sue Dunn-Dixon.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10161. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:03:14PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10161

First Name: Jennie Sue
Last Name: Dunn-Dixon
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Dunn-Dixon, Jennie Sue - W161

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Dunn-Dixon, Jennie Sue, Commenter ID No. W161 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Jennie Sue Dunn-Dixon

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Erickson, Steven, Commenter ID No. W357

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:18 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10357

Thank you for your comment, Steven Erickson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10357. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:17:28PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10357

First Name: Steven
Middle Initial: R
Last Name: Erickson
Address: _____
City: _____
State: _____
Zip: _____
Country: USA
Email: steverickson720@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Erickson, Steven, Commenter ID No. W357 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Ernst, Susan, Commenter ID No. W251

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:40 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10251

Thank you for your comment, Susan Ernst.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10251. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:39:32PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10251

First Name: Susan
 Last Name: Ernst
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Ernst, Susan -- W251

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Ernst, Susan, Commenter ID No. W251 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Fenker, John, Commenter ID No. W155

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:50 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10155

Thank you for your comment, John Fenker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10155. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:49:40PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10155

First Name: John
Last Name: Fenker
Country: USA
Email: jfenker@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Fenker, John, Commenter ID No. W155 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gilbert, Anna, Commenter ID No. W80

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 09, 2011 7:04 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10080

Thank you for your comment, Anna Gilbert.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10080. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 9, 2011 07:03:22PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10080

First Name: Anna
 Last Name: Gilbert
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a national permanent storage of radioactive waste. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of highly dangerous radioactive material.

First, Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. Plus, the site is located too close to the Columbia River Gorge and Portland metro area with well over one million people living nearby.

Second, those living along the Interstate system will be affected much more directly. If Hanford is selected, we will begin to see truckloads of radioactive waste traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and along the Columbia River Gorge. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within feet of homes, schools, and the Columbia River.

Third, the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The EIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the EIS include the unimaginable number of deaths from a truck accident, earthquake or intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge— not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to converting Hanford into a national nuclear waste dump by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeepers, 17 Oregon Representatives and Senators, U.S. Senator Merkley and U.S. Senator Wyden.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gilbert, Anna, Commenter ID No. W80 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gohl, Joy, Commenter ID No. W91

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2011 3:49 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10091

Thank you for your comment, Joy Gohl.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10091. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 14, 2011 03:48:39PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10091

First Name: Joy
Last Name: Gohl
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States.

Hanford is the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimating 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, earthquake or intentional attack.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Goodwin, William, Commenter ID No. W213

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:51 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10213

Thank you for your comment, William Goodwin.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10213. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:51:04AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10213

First Name: William
Last Name: Goodwin
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Goodwin, William, Commenter ID No. W213 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Graser-Lindsey, Elizabeth, Commenter ID No. W233

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:56 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10233

Thank you for your comment, Elizabeth Graser-Lindsey.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10233. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:55:51AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10233

First Name: Elizabeth
Last Name: Graser-Lindsey
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gridley, John, Commenter ID No. W454

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 11:59 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10454

Thank you for your comment, John Gridley.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10454. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 11:58:52PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10454

First Name: John
Last Name: Gridley
Address: 21500 N Umpqua Hwy
Address 3: 21500 N Umpqua Hwy
City: Gilda
State: OR
Zip: 97443
Country: USA
Email: john@ehhealthlink.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Gridley, John - W454

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gridley, John, Commenter ID No. W454 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Grigsby, Sara, Commenter ID No. W422

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 9:39 AM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10422

Thank you for your comment, Sara Grigsby.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10422. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 09:38:39AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10422

First Name: Sara
Last Name: Grigsby
Organization: Columbia River Gorge Commissioner
Country: USA
Email: sara@healthysystems.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Grigsby, Sara, Commenter ID No. W422 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sara Grigsby

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gundersen, Daniel, Commenter ID No. W218

From: gtcciswebmaster@enl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:12 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@enl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10218

Thank you for your comment, daniel gundersen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10218. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:12:18AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10218

First Name: daniel
Last Name: gundersen
Address: 221 Indian cabin rd
City: stevenson
State: WA
Zip: 98648
Country: USA
Email: danielg@gorge.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Gundersen, Daniel, Commenter ID No. W218 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thanks for listening

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hafer, Sarah, Commenter ID No. W216

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:57 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10216

Thank you for your comment, Sarah Hafer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10216. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:56:20AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10216

First Name: Sarah
 Last Name: Hafer
 Organization: individual citizen
 City: Sacramento
 State: CA
 Zip: 95864
 Country: USA
 Email: sarah.hafer@gmail.com
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Hafer, Sarah -- W216

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hafer, Sarah, Commenter ID No. W216 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sarah Hafer
Sacramento, CA

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hellickson, Terry, Commenter ID No. W202

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:28 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10202

Thank you for your comment, Terry Hellickson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10202. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 08:28:03AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10202

First Name: Terry
Last Name: Hellickson
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmann;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimating 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Eari Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Hellickson, Terry - W202

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hellickson, Terry, Commenter ID No. W202 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hermesen, Laurence, Commenter ID No. W172

From: gtccswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:59 PM
To: gtccswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10172

Thank you for your comment, Laurence Hermesen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10172. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:58:25PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10172

First Name: Laurence
Last Name: Hermesen
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Hermesen, Laurence - W172

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hermesen, Laurence, Commenter ID No. W172 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hochendoner, Kelly, Commenter ID No. W405

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 8:26 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10405

Thank you for your comment, Kelly Hochendoner.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10405. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 08:25:53PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10405

First Name: Kelly
 Last Name: Hochendoner
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Hochendoner, Kelly - W405

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hochendoner, Kelly, Commenter ID No. W405 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Holzman, Deanne, Commenter ID No. W260

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 2:05 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10260

Thank you for your comment, Deanne Holzman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10260. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 02:04:24PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10260

First Name: Deanne
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Holzman
Address: P.O. Box 1233
City: Hood River
State: OR
Zip: 97031
Country: USA
Email: deeholzman@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record.

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmann

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Holzman, Deanne, Commenter ID No. W260 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Honeyman, Usha, Commenter ID No. W286

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:33 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10286

Thank you for your comment, Usha Honeyman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10286. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:33:08PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10286

First Name: Usha
Last Name: Honeyman
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Honeyman, Usha - W286

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Honeyman, Usha, Commenter ID No. W286 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dr. Usha Honeyman

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hopkins, Tom, Commenter ID No. W304

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 4:31 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10304

Thank you for your comment, Tom Hopkins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10304. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 04:30:26PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10304

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Hopkins
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Hopkins, Tom -- W304

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hopkins, Tom, Commenter ID No. W304 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hornung, Tracie, Commenter ID No. W158

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:59 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10158

Thank you for your comment, Tracie Hornung.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10158. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:58:56PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10158

First Name: Tracie
Last Name: Hornung
City: Mosier
State: OR
Zip: 97040
Country: USA
Email: thornung@embarqmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Dear Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. I live within one-quarter mile of I-84. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimating 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hornung, Tracie, Commenter ID No. W158 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@arl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hubard, Ann, Commenter ID No. W152

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:36 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10152

Thank you for your comment, Ann Hubard.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10152. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:36:06PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10152

First Name: Ann
Last Name: Hubard
Country: USA
Email: amhtw2003@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hubard, Ann, Commenter ID No. W152 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkeley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hughes, Eleanor, Commenter ID No. W147

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:08 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10147

Thank you for your comment, Eleanor Hughes.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10147. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:08:04PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10147

First Name: Eleanor
Last Name: Hughes
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Hughes, Eleanor - W147

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hughes, Eleanor, Commenter ID No. W147 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hulbert, Dawn, Commenter ID No. W331

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 10:28 AM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10331

Thank you for your comment, Dawn Hulbert.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10331. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 21, 2011 10:27:53AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10331

First Name: Dawn
Last Name: Hulbert
City: White Salmon
State: WA
Zip: 98672
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Hulbert, Dawn, Commenter ID No. W331 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jackson, Aria, Commenter ID No. W146

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:07 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10146

Thank you for your comment, Aria Jackson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10146. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:06:42PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10146

First Name: Aria
 Last Name: Jackson
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jackson, Aria, Commenter ID No. W146 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jackson, Nathan, Commenter ID No. W105

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:13 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10105

Thank you for your comment, Nathan Jackson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10105. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:12:37PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10105

First Name: Nathan
Last Name: Jackson
State: OR
Zip: 97224
Country: USA
Email: natejman@hotmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jackson, Nathan, Commenter ID No. W105 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (530) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jacobson, Rich, Commenter ID No. W263

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 2:26 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10263

Thank you for your comment, Rich Jacobson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10263. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 02:25:50PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10263

First Name: Rich
Last Name: Jacobson
Address: 2210 SW Broadway Drive
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97201
Country: USA
Email: richardtjacobson@aim.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jacobson, Rich, Commenter ID No. W263 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Rich and Jeanne Jacobson

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jeka, Kymberly, Commenter ID No. W112

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:23 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10112

Thank you for your comment, Kymberly Jeka.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10112. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:22:53PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10112

First Name: Kymberly
Last Name: Jeka
Address: !
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: kymberlyjeka@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jeka, Kymberly, Commenter ID No. W112 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jelen, Jonathan, Commenter ID No. W256

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 1:20 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10256

Thank you for your comment, Jonathan Jelen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10256. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 01:19:26PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10256

First Name: Jonathan
Last Name: Jelen
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Jelen, Jonathan - W256

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Jelen, Jonathan, Commenter ID No. W256 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: [gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov](mailto:gtcc@webmaster.anl.gov) or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Johnson, Stephen, Commenter ID No. W177

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:15 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10177

Thank you for your comment, Stephen Johnson,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10177. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:14:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10177

First Name: Stephen
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Johnson
Country: USA
Email: stephenjohnson@pol.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford, in part due to the proximity to the Colorado River and the water table; it is also near large population centers.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The highway through the Gorge is infamous for very high, shifting winds and icy conditions (in the winter). The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Johnson, Stephen - W177

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Johnson, Stephen, Commenter ID No. W177 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kaminker, Matthew, Commenter ID No. W176

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:12 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10176

Thank you for your comment, Matthew Kaminker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10176. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:11:58PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10176

First Name: Matthew
Middle Initial: N
Last Name: Kaminker
Address: 10638 SW Capitol Hwy
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97219
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kaminker, Matthew, Commenter ID No. W176 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@arl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kay, Joel, Commenter ID No. W157

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:56 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10157

Thank you for your comment, Joel Kay.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10157. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:55:24PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10157

First Name: Joel
Last Name: Kay
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kelly, Tim, Commenter ID No. W566

From: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2011 7:55 AM
To: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10566

Thank you for your comment, tim kelly.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10566. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 28, 2011 07:54:38AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10566

First Name: tim
 Last Name: kelly
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Keys, Thomas, Commenter ID No. W156

From: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:53 PM
To: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10156

Thank you for your comment, Thomas Keys.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10156. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:53:02PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10156

First Name: Thomas
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Keys
Organization: Referred by "Friends of the Columbia Gorge"
Address: 1103 SE 21st CT
City: Gresham
State: OR
Zip: 97080
Country: USA
Email: tkeyshike@msn.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Keys, Thomas -- W156

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Keys, Thomas, Commenter ID No. W156 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Sincerely,

Thomas J Keys

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Keys, Thomas, Commenter ID No. W375

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:14 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10375

Thank you for your comment, Thomas Keys.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10375. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:13:35PM COT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10375

First Name: Thomas
Last Name: Keys
Organization: Friends of the Columbia Gorge
Address: 1103 SE 21st CT
City: Gresham
State: OR
Zip: 97080
Country: USA
Email: tkeysbike@msn.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Keys, Thomas, Commenter ID No. W375 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5709.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kirkland, John, Commenter ID No. W275

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 6:56 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10275

Thank you for your comment, John Kirkland.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10275. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 06:55:24PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10275

First Name: John
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Kirkland
Country: USA
Email: jkirkland3@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kirkland, John, Commenter ID No. W275 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kirkland, John, Commenter ID No. W83

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 10:09 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10083

Thank you for your comment, John Kirkland.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10083. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 10, 2011 10:09:01PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10083

First Name: John
Middle Initial: I
Last Name: Kirkland
Country: USA
Email: jkirkland3@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kirkland, John, Commenter ID No. W83 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kofler, Roger, Commenter ID No. W225

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:30 AM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10225

Thank you for your comment, Roger Kofler.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10225. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:29:57AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10225

First Name: Roger
Middle Initial: H
Last Name: Kofler
Country: USA
Email: rkofler@aol.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Kofler, Roger - W225

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kofler, Roger, Commenter ID No. W225 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kolberg, Vicki, Commenter ID No. W200

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 7:49 AM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10200

Thank you for your comment, Vicki Kolberg.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10200. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 07:49:00AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10200

First Name: Vicki
Last Name: Kolberg
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Kolberg, Vicki - W200

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kolberg, Vicki, Commenter ID No. W200 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kolberg, Vicki, Commenter ID No. W200 (cont'd)

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@epa.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kramer-Dodd, Gay, Commenter ID No. W279

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:43 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10279

Thank you for your comment, Gay Kramer-Dodd.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10279. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 08:43:17PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10279

First Name: Gay
Middle Initial: D
Last Name: Kramer-Dodd
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: dodd7720@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I am troubled that the Hanford Nuclear Reservation is on the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material. I urge you to reject this.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kramer-Dodd, Gay, Commenter ID No. W279 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River-Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anol.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kutschera, Ellynn, Commenter ID No. W269

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 3:46 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10269

Thank you for your comment, Ellynn Kutschera.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10269. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 03:46:21PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10269

First Name: Ellynn
Last Name: Kutschera
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I sincerely hope that you will remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Kutschera, Ellynn, Commenter ID No. W269 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lamberger, Dan, Commenter ID No. W329

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 7:36 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10329

Thank you for your comment, Dan Lamberger.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10329. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 20, 2011 07:36:13PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10329

First Name: Dan
Middle Initial: C
Last Name: Lamberger
Address: 1441 SW Clay St. #107
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97201
Country: USA
Email: dlamberger@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lamberger, Dan, Commenter ID No. W329 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anf.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Leggatt, Joyce, Commenter ID No. W424

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 10:12 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10424

Thank you for your comment, Joyce Leggatt.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10424. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 10:12:10AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10424

First Name: Joyce
Last Name: Leggatt
State: OR
Zip: 97211
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Leggatt, Joyce -- W424

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Leggatt, Joyce, Commenter ID No. W424 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@arl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (530) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lief, Charles, Commenter ID No. W368

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:45 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10368

Thank you for your comment, Charles Lief.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10368. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:44:41PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10368

First Name: Charles
Middle Initial: G
Last Name: Lief
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: greglief@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Dear Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I am writing to urge you to please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States.

Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material. For one thing, it is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. It would be counter-productive to store new nuclear waste at that location.

Among other things, this proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is too great and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

1

Lief, Charles - W368

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lief, Charles, Commenter ID No. W368 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anf.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lienhard, Judith, Commenter ID No. W102

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:08 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10102

Thank you for your comment, Judith Lienhard.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10102. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:07:49PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10102

First Name: Judith
Last Name: Lienhard
Address: 4455 SW 94th Ave
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97225
Country: USA
Email: lienjud@aol.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lienhard, Judith, Commenter ID No. W102 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River-Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcc_eis_webmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lloyd, Darryl, Commenter ID No. W181

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:44 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10181

Thank you for your comment, Darryl Lloyd.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10181. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:44:18PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10181

First Name: Darryl
Middle Initial: G
Last Name: Lloyd
Address: 1025 State St.
City: Hood River
State: OR
Zip: 97031
Country: USA
Email: longshadow@gorge.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I echo every word of this letter drafted by Friends of the Columbia Gorge

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lloyd, Darryl, Commenter ID No. W181 (cont'd)

due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Long, Meredith, Commenter ID No. W332

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 12:22 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10332

Thank you for your comment, Meredith Long.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10332. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 21, 2011 12:21:37PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10332

First Name: Meredith
Middle Initial: K
Last Name: Long
City: Placitas
State: NM
Zip: 87043
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Long, Meredith - W332

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Long, Meredith, Commenter ID No. W332 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lovejoy, Patricia, Commenter ID No. W318

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2011 7:41 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10318

Thank you for your comment, Patricia Lovejoy.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10318. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 19, 2011 07:41:06PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10318

First Name: Patricia
Last Name: Lovejoy
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Lovejoy, Patricia - W318

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lovejoy, Patricia, Commenter ID No. W318 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lyda, Marv, Commenter ID No. W175

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:11 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10175

Thank you for your comment, Mary Lyda,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10175. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:09:58PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10175

First Name: Mary
Last Name: Lyda
Address: P.O.Box 1928
Address 3: P.O.Box 1928
City: Cave Junction
State: OR
Zip: 97523
Country: USA
Email: artisan7@frontiernet.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Lyda, Marv, Commenter ID No. W175 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelwebmaster@nrl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Maney, Trudy, Commenter ID No. W295

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 10:17 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10295

Thank you for your comment, Trudy Maney.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10295. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 10:16:37AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10295

First Name: Trudy
Middle Initial: G
Last Name: Maney
State: I
Zip:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Maney, Trudy, Commenter ID No. W295 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration:

Sincerely,
Trudy G Maney

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@onl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Markey, Jeff, Commenter ID No. W116

From: gtcciswebmaster@arl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:31 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@arl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10116

Thank you for your comment, Jeff Markey..

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10116. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:31:07PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10116

First Name: Jeff
Last Name: Markey
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Markey, Jeff -- W116

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Markey, Jeff, Commenter ID No. W116 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Martin Jr, Ron, Commenter ID No. W148

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 9:13 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10148

Thank you for your comment, Ron Martin Jr.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10148. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 09:12:43PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10148

First Name: Ron
Middle Initial: W
Last Name: Martin Jr
Address: 1401 Cross Creek Ln
City: hood river
State: OR
Zip: 97031
Country: USA
Email: rwmartin@mtu.edu
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Dear Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I am writing as both a small business owner and a resident of the Columbia River Gorge. Our livelihoods and that of many others' depend on the Columbia River Gorge.

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Martin Jr, Ron, Commenter ID No. W148 (cont'd)

due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Masin, Susan, Commenter ID No. W372

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:56 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10372

Thank you for your comment, susan masin.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10372. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:56:00PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10372

First Name: susan
Last Name: masin
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Fdelmain:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Masin, Susan - W372

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Masin, Susan, Commenter ID No. W372 (cont'd)

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McClain, Mary, Commenter ID No. W143

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:55 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10143

Thank you for your comment, Mary McClain.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10143. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:55:14PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10143

First Name: Mary
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: McClain
Country: USA
Email: marymccclain@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

McClain, Mary - W143

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McClain, Mary, Commenter ID No. W143 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
Mary M. McClain

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McClay, Mauria, Commenter ID No. W98

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:07 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10098

Thank you for your comment, Mauria McClay.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10098. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:06:36PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10098

First Name: Mauria
Last Name: McClay
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmann

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McComb, Melinda, Commenter ID No. W423

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 9:42 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10423

Thank you for your comment, Melinda McComb.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10423. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 09:41:34AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10423

First Name: Melinda
Last Name: McComb
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

McComb, Melinda - W423

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McComb, Melinda, Commenter ID No. W423 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Melinda McComb

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccwebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McConnell, Constance, Commenter ID No. W281

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:05 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10281

Thank you for your comment, Constance McConnell.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10281. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:05:13PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10281

First Name: Constance
Last Name: McConnell
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

This is IMPORTANT!

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McConnell, Constance, Commenter ID No. W281 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McCracken, Gloria, Commenter ID No. W277

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:02 PM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10277

Thank you for your comment, Gloria McCracken.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10277. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 08:01:26PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10277

First Name: Gloria
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: McCracken
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: riversbard@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:

As a permanent nuclear waste dump, Hanford is the wrong. As a place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material Hanford is wrong. Seriously, is there any good place? Still, Hanford has to be the absolute worst choice. I can't believe it's even being considered. Please remove it from the list of candidate sites.

As you should know, Hanford is already the most CONTAMINATED site in the Western Hemisphere, already involving the Department of Energy in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. It should be obvious, no new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

Furthermore, in accordance with this proposal, thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would travel along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat as well as the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an EARTHQUAKE (historically occurring within the time frame of every four to six hundred years off the coast of Oregon – much like that which occurred March 11 in Japan) or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McCracken, Gloria, Commenter ID No. W277 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge – not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McDonald, Cheri, Commenter ID No. W432

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 12:16 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10432

Thank you for your comment, Cheri McDonald.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10432. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 12:16:07PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10432

First Name: Cheri
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: McDonald
Organization: N/A
Address: 2353 - 130th Ave NE
Address 2: Suite 130
City: Bellevue
State: WA
Zip: 98005
Country: USA
Email: cheri@lehmannwood.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McDonald, Cheri, Commenter ID No. W432 (cont'd)

occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Cheri M. McDonald

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McGowan, Wendy, Commenter ID No. W435

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 1:39 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10435

Thank you for your comment, Wendy McGowan.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10435. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 01:39:26PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10435

First Name: Wendy
Last Name: McGowan
State: OR
Zip: 97404
Country: USA
Email: ramblin@rossnet.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McGowan, Wendy, Commenter ID No. W435 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McKamey, Will, Commenter ID No. W235

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:08 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10235

Thank you for your comment, Will McKamey.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10235. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:07:40AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10235

First Name: Will
Last Name: McKamey
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97209
Country: USA
Email: will_mck@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

McKamey, Will -- W235

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
McKamey, Will, Commenter ID No. W235 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (530) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Meyer, Emily, Commenter ID No. W287

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:47 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10287

Thank you for your comment, Emily Meyer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10287. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:46:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10287

First Name: Emily
Last Name: Meyer
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Meyer, Emily - W287

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Meyer, Emily, Commenter ID No. W287 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Miller, Jacquelyn, Commenter ID No. W123

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:43 PM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10123

Thank you for your comment, Jacquelyn Miller.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10123. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:43:02PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10123

First Name: Jacquelyn
Last Name: Miller
Organization: --- Select ---
Address: :
City: |
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Miller, Jacquelyn, Commenter ID No. W123 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Miller, Michelle, Commenter ID No. W442

From: gtcciswebmaster@nl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 4:18 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@nl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10442

Thank you for your comment, michelle miller.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10442. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 04:17:44PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10442

First Name: michelle
Middle Initial: I
Last Name: miller
Address: 2322 n williams ave #212
City: portland
State: OR
Zip: 97227
Country: USA
Email: magvar6969@hotmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Miller, Michelle, Commenter ID No. W442 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Welcome back!
For your convenience, we have filled out your form with the information you provided previously from postal code 97227.
Click to see and manage your information.

Not magyar6969@hotmail.com? Please click here. Thank you!

Email*

Sending your message, please wait ...

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Milne, Laura, Commenter ID No. W529

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 10:38 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10529

Thank you for your comment, Laura Milne.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10529. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 27, 2011 10:37:54AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10529

First Name: Laura
Last Name: Milne
Address: 4666 NW Woodside Ter
Address 3: 4666 NW Woodside Ter
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97210
Country: USA
Email: lwilne@romcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Milne, Laura, Commenter ID No. W529 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Laura Milne

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Minick, David, Commenter ID No. W268

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 3:34 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10268

Thank you for your comment, David Minick.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10268. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 03:33:53PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10268

First Name: David
 Last Name: Minick
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Minick, David -- W268

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Minick, David, Commenter ID No. W268 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@enl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Monial, Sara, Commenter ID No. W391

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 5:37 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10391

Thank you for your comment, Sara Monial.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10391. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 05:36:50PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10391

First Name: Sara
Middle Initial: E
Last Name: Monial
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted: Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

1

Monial, Sara - W391

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Monial, Sara, Commenter ID No. W391 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Morris, Julie, Commenter ID No. W241

From: gtcciswebmaster@enl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:42 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@enl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10241

Thank you for your comment, Julie Morris.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10241. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:41:49AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10241

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Morris
Address: 1616 N Terry Street
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97217
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States.

Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford.

No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

Many many families and tourists use the I-84 corridor and would be exposed to potential harm.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Morris, Julie, Commenter ID No. W241 (cont'd)

due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Mullis, Lisa, Commenter ID No. W227

From: gtcceswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:44 AM
To: gtcceswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10227

Thank you for your comment, Lisa Mullis.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10227. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:43:39AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10227

First Name: Lisa
Last Name: Mullis
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Mullis, Lisa, Commenter ID No. W227 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Neer, Steven, Commenter ID No. W230

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:54 AM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10230

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10230. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:54:21AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10230

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Neer
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Nettleton, John, Commenter ID No. W303

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 4:07 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10303

Thank you for your comment, John Nettleton.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10303. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 04:06:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10303

First Name: John
 Last Name: Nettleton
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

1

Nettleton, John - W303

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Nettleton, John, Commenter ID No. W303 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

First Name*
Last Name*
Email*
Street*
Street 2
City*
State/Province*
Zip/Postal Code*
Sending your message, please wait ...

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcc eiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Neuendorf, Mary, Commenter ID No. W255

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 1:10 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10255

Thank you for your comment, Mary Neuendorf.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10255. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 01:09:26PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10255

First Name: Mary
 Last Name: Neuendorf
 Country: USA
 Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
 Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Neuendorf, Marv, Commenter ID No. W255 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Neulist, Susan, Commenter ID No. W118

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:37 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10118

Thank you for your comment, Susan Neulist.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10118. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:36:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10118

First Name: Susan
Middle Initial: M
Last Name: Neulist
Address: 643 NW 10th Avenue
Address 3: 643 NW 10th Avenue
City: Camas
State: WA
Zip: 98607
Country: USA
Email: sueneulist@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Neulist, Susan, Commenter ID No. W118 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Susan Neulist

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Oliveri, Christy, Commenter ID No. W232

From: gtccsewebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:56 AM
To: gtccsewebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10232

Thank you for your comment, Christy Oliveri.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10232. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:55:30AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10232

First Name: Christy
Middle Initial: N
Last Name: Oliveri
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Oliveri, Christy, Commenter ID No. W232 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Palmer, Gayle, Commenter ID No. W238

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:18 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10238

Thank you for your comment, Gayle Palmer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10238. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:17:33AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10238

First Name: Gayle
Middle Initial: C
Last Name: Palmer
Address: 3710 235th Pl SW
City: Brier
State: WA
Zip: 98036
Country: USA
Email: gipalmer@netzero.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Palmer, Gayle - W238

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Palmer, Gayle, Commenter ID No. W238 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Gayle Palmer

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Pantely, George, Commenter ID No. W283

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:21 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10283

Thank you for your comment, George Pantely.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10283. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:18:05PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10283

First Name: George
Middle Initial: A
Last Name: Pantely
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Pantely, George - W283

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Pantely, George, Commenter ID No. W283 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Parker, John, Commenter ID No. W167

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:22 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10167

Thank you for your comment, John Parker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10167. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:21:58PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10167

First Name: John
Middle Initial: V
Last Name: Parker
City: Beaverton
State: OR
Zip: 97005
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Parker, John - W167

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Parker, John, Commenter ID No. W167 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

John Parker

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Petersen, Larry, Commenter ID No. W168

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:26 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10168

Thank you for your comment, Larry Petersen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10168. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:25:25PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10168

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Petersen
Address: 1000 N W 3
City: Gresham
State: OR
Zip: 97030
Country: USA
Email: larry@epetersen.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5

Petersen, Larry - W168

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Petersen, Larry, Commenter ID No. W382

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:47 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10382

Thank you for your comment, Larry Petersen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10382. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:46:32PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10382

First Name: Larry
Last Name: Petersen
Address: 1000 N W 3
City: Gresham
State: OR
Zip: 97030
Country: USA
Email: larry@epetersen.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Petersen, Larry - W382

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Petersen, Larry, Commenter ID No. W382 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Peterson, Kathryn, Commenter ID No. W236

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:11 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10236

Thank you for your comment, Kathryn Peterson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10236. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:11:10AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10236

First Name: Kathryn
Last Name: Peterson
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study which estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure!!!

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Peterson, Kathryn - W236

3
4
5

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Platner, Nancy, Commenter ID No. W383

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:53 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10383

Thank you for your comment, Nancy Platner.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10383. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:52:47PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10383

First Name: Nancy
Middle Initial: B
Last Name: Platner
City: Hood River
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman,

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Platner, Nancy - W383

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Platner, Nancy, Commenter ID No. W383 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others..

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Nancy B. Platner

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Polk, Nora, Commenter ID No. W356

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:17 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10356

Thank you for your comment, Nora Polk.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10356. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:16:56PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10356

First Name: Nora
Last Name: Polk
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Polk, Nora - W356

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Polk, Nora, Commenter ID No. W356 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Pollock, Nina, Commenter ID No. W265

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 2:55 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10265

Thank you for your comment, Nina Pollock.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10265. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 02:54:42PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10265

First Name: Nina
Last Name: Pollock
Address: 10342 NW Alpenglow
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97229
Country: USA
Email: nina_pollock@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area.

We hike in the Columbia River Gorge on a regular basis and want the area protected for the continued use of the people of Portland and Oregon.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

3
4
5

Pollock, Nina -- W265

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Pollock, Nina, Commenter ID No. W265 (cont'd)

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Popiela, Hank, Commenter ID No. W114

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:25 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10114

Thank you for your comment, Hank Popiela.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10114. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:24:50PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10114

First Name: Hank
Last Name: Popiela
State: OR
Zip: 97212
Country: USA
Email: hpopi@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Popiela, Hank - W114

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Popiela, Hank, Commenter ID No. W114 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Porch, Delores, Commenter ID No. W252

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:46 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10252

Thank you for your comment, Delores Porch.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10252. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:46:09PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10252

First Name: Delores
Last Name: Porch
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Porch, Delores - W252

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Porch, Delores, Commenter ID No. W252 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Porch, Delores, Commenter ID No. W401

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 7:43 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10401

Thank you for your comment, Delores Porch.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10401. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 07:42:37PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10401

First Name: Delores
Last Name: Porch
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Porch, Delores - W401

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Porch, Delores, Commenter ID No. W401 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Prentiss, Alex, Commenter ID No. W92

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:02 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10092

Thank you for your comment, Alex Prentiss.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10092. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:02:24PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10092

First Name: Alex
Last Name: Prentiss
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Prentiss, Alex - W92

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Prentiss, Alex, Commenter ID No. W92 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Priest, Madeline, Commenter ID No. W81

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 7:27 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10081

Thank you for your comment, Madeline Priest.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10081. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 10, 2011 07:27:24PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10081

First Name: Madeline
Last Name: Priest
City: The Dalles
State: OR
Zip: 97058
Country: USA
Email: madpriest86@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Priest, Madeline - W81

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Priest, Madeline, Commenter ID No. W81 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Provost, Pierre, Commenter ID No. W201

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 8:13 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10201

Thank you for your comment, Pierre Provost.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10201. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 08:13:16AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10201

First Name: Pierre
Last Name: Provost
Country: USA
Email: beavog17@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

My family and I use the Columbia River Gorge on a regular basis for hiking and other recreation. It is truly a scenic gem for the entire U.S. In fact I was backpacking there this past week with a new friend from Germany, highlighting the areas beauty.

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Provost, Pierre - W201

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Provost, Pierre, Commenter ID No. W201 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@nl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Putnam, Lynn, Commenter ID No. W125

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:51 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10125

Thank you for your comment, Lynn Putnam.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10125. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:51:16PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10125

First Name: Lynn
Last Name: Putnam
State: '
Zip:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Putnam, Lynn - W125

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Putnam, Lynn, Commenter ID No. W125 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Rabin, Michelle, Commenter ID No. W215

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:54 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10215

Thank you for your comment, Michelle Rabin.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10215. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:53:58AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10215

First Name: Michelle
Middle Initial: B
Last Name: Rabin
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Rabin, Michelle - W215

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Rabin, Michelle, Commenter ID No. W215 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Ray, Richard, Commenter ID No. W259

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 2:02 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10259

Thank you for your comment, Richard Ray.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10259. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 02:01:38PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10259

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Ray
Address: 30649 NE Hurt Rd
City: Troutdale
State: OR
Zip: 97060
Country: USA
Email: democracyinaction@rickray.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Ray, Richard - W259

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Ray, Richard, Commenter ID No. W259 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Reedijk, Linda, Commenter ID No. W187

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:08 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10187

Thank you for your comment, Linda Reedijk.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10187. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:07:30AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10187

First Name: Linda
Last Name: Reedijk
State: I
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: lindareedijk@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Reedijk, Linda - W187

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Reedijk, Linda, Commenter ID No. W187 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Linda Reedijk

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Robbins, Teresa, Commenter ID No. W412

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 10:11 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10412

Thank you for your comment, Teresa Robbins.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10412. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 10:10:34PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10412

First Name: Teresa
Last Name: Robbins
City: Washougal
State: WA
Zip: 98671
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Robbins, Teresa - W412

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Robbins, Teresa, Commenter ID No. W412 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Rossman, Penny, Commenter ID No. W299

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 12:47 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10299

Thank you for your comment, Penny Rossman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10299. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 12:47:13PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10299

First Name: Penny
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Rossman
Address: PO Box 69
City: Corbett
State: OR
Zip: 97019
Country: USA
Email: prosswoman@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Rossman, Penny - W299

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Rossman, Penny, Commenter ID No. W299 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccsiswebmaster@anj.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sanda, Clyde, Commenter ID No. W212

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 9:50 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10212

Thank you for your comment, Clyde Sanda.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10212. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 09:50:01AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10212

First Name: Clyde
Last Name: Sanda
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Sanda, Clyde - W212

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sanda, Clyde, Commenter ID No. W212 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Rev Clyde Sanda

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sawaya, Linda, Commenter ID No. W184

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:58 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10184

Thank you for your comment, linda sawaya.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10184. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:58:08PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10184

First Name: linda
Last Name: sawaya
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Sawaya, Linda - W184

3
4
5

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sawaya, Linda, Commenter ID No. W184 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Seil, Fredrick, Commenter ID No. W300

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 1:48 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10300

Thank you for your comment, Fredrick Seil.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10300. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 01:47:25PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10300

First Name: Fredrick
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Seil
Address: 1 Twain Avenue
City: Berkeley
State: CA
Zip: 94708
Country: USA
Email: seilf@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Seil, Fredrick -- W300

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Seil, Fredrick, Commenter ID No. W300 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccaiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Shapiro, David, Commenter ID No. W242

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:46 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10242

Thank you for your comment, David Shapiro.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10242. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:45:30AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10242

First Name: David
Last Name: Shapiro
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I fully support the issues raised below concerning using Hanford as a permanent nuclear waste dump site. It is already incredibly contaminated and too near the Columbia River to risk an accident. Trucking waste through the Columbia Gorge also risks a precious natural area.

Thank you

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur

3
4
5

Shapiro, David - W242

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Shapiro, David, Commenter ID No. W242 (cont'd)

due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sharpe, Kathryn, Commenter ID No. W415

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 11:33 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10415

Thank you for your comment, Kathryn Sharpe.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10415. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 11:32:54PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10415

First Name: Kathryn
Last Name: Sharpe
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

We already have a problem on our hands with waste in Hanford. We don't want to make it any worse.

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Sharpe, Kathryn -- W415

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sharpe, Kathryn, Commenter ID No. W415 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sherer, Janice, Commenter ID No. W180

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:42 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10180

Thank you for your comment, Janice Sherer.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10180. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:41:21PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10180

First Name: Janice
Middle Initial: J
Last Name: Sherer
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Sherer, Janice - W180

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Sherer, Janice, Commenter ID No. W180 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Simonsen, John, Commenter ID No. W133

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:18 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10133

Thank you for your comment, John Simonsen.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCL10133. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:17:48PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10133

First Name: John
Last Name: Simonsen
Address: 1557 NW Forestgreen
City: Corvallis
State: OR
Country: USA
Email: john.simonsen.mail@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Simonsen, John - W133

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Simonsen, John, Commenter ID No. W133 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stone, Catherine, Commenter ID No. W247

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:19 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10247

Thank you for your comment, Catherine Stone.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10247. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:18:49PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10247

First Name: Catherine
Last Name: Stone
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Stone, Catherine - W247

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stone, Catherine, Commenter ID No. W247 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stone, Linda, Commenter ID No. W126

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:52 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10126

Thank you for your comment, Linda Stone.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10126. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:51:27PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10126

First Name: Linda
Last Name: Stone
Address: 5525 NW 137th Avenue
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97229
Country: USA
Email: linda.stone@loveable.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Stone, Linda - W126

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stone, Linda, Commenter ID No. W126 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stuart, Kate, Commenter ID No. W557

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 8:06 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10557

Thank you for your comment, Kate Stuart.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10557. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 27, 2011 08:05:43PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10557

First Name: Kate
Last Name: Stuart
City: Cascade Locks
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the last place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through my city and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable. Accidents have already occurred.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. This important to me as a 7-year breast cancer survivor.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Stuart, Kate - W557

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Stuart, Kate, Commenter ID No. W557 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Summersett, Sophia, Commenter ID No. W362

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:28 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10362

Thank you for your comment, Sophia Summersett.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10362. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:27:49PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10362

First Name: Sophia
Last Name: Summersett
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Summersett, Sophia - W362

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Summersett, Sophia, Commenter ID No. W362 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Till, Richard, Commenter ID No. W549

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 6:29 PM
To: mail_gtccsisarchives; gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov; gtccsis@anl.gov
Subject: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10549
Attachments: Friends_of_the_Columbia_Gorge_Comment_Low-Level_Waste_DEIS_GTCC10549.pdf

Thank you for your comment, Richard Till.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10549. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 27, 2011 06:28:53PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10549

First Name: Richard
Middle Initial: F
Last Name: Till
Organization: Friends of the Columbia Gorge
Address: 522 SW Fifth, Suite 720
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97206
Country: USA
Email: rick@gorgefriends.org
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record
Attachment: Friends of the Columbia Gorge Comment Low-Level Waste DEIS.pdf

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5
6

Till, Richard - W549

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Till, Richard, Commenter ID No. W549 (cont'd)



SUBMITTED VIA ON-LINE COMMENT FORM

June 27, 2011

Re: Comments on the U.S. Department of Energy's Draft Environmental Impact Statement regarding inclusion of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation as a candidate as a permanent Nation-wide radioactive waste dump.

Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Friends of the Columbia Gorge (Friends) has reviewed and would like to comment on the Department of Energy's Draft Environmental Impacts Statement regarding the transport of radioactive waste to the Hanford Nuclear Reservation as a permanent radioactive waste dump for Greater Than Class C radioactive waste. Friends is a non-profit organization with approximately 5,000 members dedicated to protecting and enhancing the resources of the Columbia River Gorge and surrounding lands through the effective implementation of local, state, and federal laws, including the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act. Our membership includes hundreds of citizens who reside or recreate within the Columbia River Gorge and Gorge communities.

Friends strongly opposes including the Hanford Nuclear Reservation in the list of candidate sites for a permanent dump site for nuclear waste from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to dispose of radioactive material both because of the risks associated with storing the waste at an already heavily contaminated site and the risks associated with transporting the waste to Hanford through the Columbia River Gorge. Friends strongly encourages the Department of Energy to remove Hanford from the candidate list.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere where the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. Given the Department of Energy's failure to meet existing clean-up timelines, it is completely inappropriate to consider sending more waste to Hanford. Even if the existing contamination is fully cleaned up the harm that has already occurred to the Hanford site and downwind and downstream communities is unacceptable. Piling on additional risks to the site and affected communities is completely unacceptable. Absolutely no new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

522 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 720, Portland, OR 97204 • (503) 241-3762 • www.gorgefriends.org
 Printed on recycled, secondary chlorine-free paper

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Till, Richard, Commenter ID No. W549 (cont'd)


The risk associated with transporting waste to the site is also unacceptable. This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. Interstate 84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat, and the Columbia River. These communities are already subject to risks associated with being downstream of the Hanford site. The additional risk of an accident during transporting waste is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. In particular, the DEIS fails to analyze the risks and potential impacts of transporting radioactive waste through the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake, or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge. We should not be considering the introduction of a major environmental threat to this national treasure.

Friends of the Columbia Gorge joins Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden, and many others in strongly opposing the storage of nuclear waste at the Hanford Nuclear Reservation.

Thank you for your time and consideration.


Richard Till
Conservation Legal Advocate

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Till, Rick, Commenter ID No. W325

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 3:07 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10325

Thank you for your comment, Rick Till.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10325. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 20, 2011 03:06:37PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10325

First Name: Rick
Last Name: Till
Country: USA
Email: ricktill@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I strongly oppose including the Hanford Nuclear Reservation on the U.S. Department of Energy's list of potential sites for storing hazardous nuclear waste from across the country.

What has occurred at Hanford over the years is a travesty and must be fixed. Given DOE's poor track record, the existing waste must be cleaned up and the existing threats to human health and the environment must be removed. To consider shipping additional waste to a site that is already a catastrophic waste is an insult to everyone living downstream of Hanford and to everyone that would be exposed to waste as it would be shipped through the Columbia River Gorge.

Shockingly, the DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack. These risks must be added to the existing threat from ongoing hazardous waste leaching into the Columbia River.

The risk is simply too severe. DOE must clean up the existing mess and needs to find alternative solutions for storage of hazardous waste. If safe alternative cannot be found, DOE needs to reconsider the practices that generate the waste in the first place.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Rick Till
Portland, Oregon

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5

Till, Rick - W325

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vance, Anne, Commenter ID No. W85

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 10:12 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10085

Thank you for your comment, Anne Vance.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10085. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 10, 2011 10:11:23PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10085

First Name: Anne
Middle Initial: R
Last Name: Vance
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: annevance@hrcn.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Vance, Anne - W85

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vance, Anne, Commenter ID No. W85 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Welcome back!

For your convenience, we have filled out your form with the information you provided previously from postal code 97031.

Click to see and manage your information.

Not annevance@hrcn.net? Please click here. Thank you!

Email* Sending your message, please wait ...

Sitemap / RSS / Donate / info@gorgefriends.org / 503.241.3762 / 522 SW Fifth Avenue,

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vaughan, Ron, Commenter ID No. W243

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:58 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10243

Thank you for your comment, Ron Vaughan.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10243. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:58:23AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10243

First Name: Ron
Middle Initial: P
Last Name: Vaughan
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

The Columbia Gorge in Washington State and Oregon has been identified as one of the most beautiful natural sight seeing areas in the world. People from all over the world visit this scenic area annually. A priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Vaughan, Ron - W243

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vaughan, Ron, Commenter ID No. W243 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vayu, Satya, Commenter ID No. W395

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 6:33 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10395

Thank you for your comment, Satya Vayu.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10395. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 06:32:55PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10395

First Name: Satya
Last Name: Vayu
Country: USA
Email: satyavayu@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Vayu, Satya - W395

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vavu, Satva, Commenter ID No. W395 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2
3

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vayu, Satya, Commenter ID No. W292

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 1:01 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10292

Thank you for your comment, Satya Vayu.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10292. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 17, 2011 01:00:54AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10292

First Name: Satya
Last Name: Vayu
State: OR
Zip: 97215
Country: USA
Email: satyavayu@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

4
5
6

Vayu, Satya - W292

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Vavu, Satva, Commenter ID No. W292 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Walicki, Joe, Commenter ID No. W272

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 5:17 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10272

Thank you for your comment, Joe Walicki.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10272. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 05:17:20PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10272

First Name: Joe
Last Name: Walicki
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: joewalicki@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Walicki, Joe - W272

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Walicki, Joe, Commenter ID No. W272 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Warneke, Lothar & Carole, Commenter ID No. W162

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:05 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10162

Thank you for your comment, Lothar & Carole Warneke.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10162. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:04:29PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radiactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10162

First Name: Lothar & Carole
Middle Initial: F
Last Name: Warneke
State: WA
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Warneke, Lothar & Carole - W162

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Warneke, Lothar & Carole, Commenter ID No. W162 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anj.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Watters, Ann, Commenter ID No. W250

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:39 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10250

Thank you for your comment, Ann Watters.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10250. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:38:50PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10250

First Name: Ann
Last Name: Watters
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Watters, Ann -- W250

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Watters, Ann, Commenter ID No. W250 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Watters, Ann, Commenter ID No. W447

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 6:59 PM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10447

Thank you for your comment, Ann Watters.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10447. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 06:59:00PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10447

First Name: Ann
Last Name: Watters
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Watters, Ann -- W447

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Watters, Ann, Commenter ID No. W447 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wehrley, Donna, Commenter ID No. W453

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 11:17 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10453

Thank you for your comment, Donna Wehrley.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10453. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 11:17:17PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10453

First Name: Donna
Last Name: Wehrley
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Wehrley, Donna - W453

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wehrley, Donna, Commenter ID No. W453 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Donna Wehrley

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Weigel, Richard, Commenter ID No. W104

From: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:10 PM
To: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10104

Thank you for your comment, RICHARD WEIGEL.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10104. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:09:46PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10104

First Name: RICHARD
Last Name: WEIGEL
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chiu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Weigel, Richard - W104

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Weigel, Richard, Commenter ID No. W104 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
West, Jack, Commenter ID No. W326

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 3:25 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10326

Thank you for your comment, Jack West.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10326. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 20, 2011 03:24:22PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10326

First Name: Jack
Last Name: West
Address: 3914 SE Licyntra Lane
City: Milwaukie
State: OR
Zip: 97222
Country: USA
Email: jwest@teleport.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

West, Jack - W326

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
West, Jack, Commenter ID No. W326 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
White, William, Commenter ID No. W398

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 7:16 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10398

Thank you for your comment, William White.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10398. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 07:15:38PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10398

First Name: William
Last Name: White
Address: 1867 N Tin Strap Trail
Address 3: 1867 N Tin Strap Trail
City: Prescott Valley
State: AZ
Zip: 86314
Country: USA
Email: evermove@cablone.net
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
White, William, Commenter ID No. W398 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Whitecotton, Robbie, Commenter ID No. W436

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 1:46 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10436

Thank you for your comment, Robbie Whitecotton.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10436. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 01:45:29PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10436

First Name: Robbie
Last Name: Whitecotton
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Whitecotton, Robbie - W436

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Whitecotton, Robbie, Commenter ID No. W436 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Williams, Sandra, Commenter ID No. W224

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:28 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10224

Thank you for your comment, Sandra Williams.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10224. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:27:22AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10224

First Name: Sandra
Middle Initial: E
Last Name: Williams
Address: 2804 SE 27th
City: Portland
State: OR
Zip: 97202-1397
Country: USA
Email: pdxmws@aol.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Williams, Sandra - W224

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Williams, Sandra, Commenter ID No. W224 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wilson, Katrina, Commenter ID No. W360

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:22 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10360

Thank you for your comment, Katrina Wilson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10360. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:22:20PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10360

First Name: Katrina
Last Name: Wilson
Address: 370 23rd St SE
City: Salem
State: OR
Zip: 97301-6609
Country: USA
Email: katywilson2006@gmail.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Wilson, Katrina - W360

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wilson, Katrina, Commenter ID No. W360 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wilson, Michael, Commenter ID No. W120

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:41 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10120

Thank you for your comment, Michael Wilson.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10120. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:40:54PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10120

First Name: Michael
Last Name: Wilson
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimating 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Wilson, Michael - W120

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wilson, Michael, Commenter ID No. W120 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W103

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:08 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10103

Thank you for your comment, John and Polly Wood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10103. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:08:15PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10103

First Name: John and Polly
Last Name: Wood
State:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Wood, John & Polly - W103

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W103 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W163

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 10:06 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10163

Thank you for your comment, John and Polly Wood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10163. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 10:06:16PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10163

First Name: John and Polly
Last Name: Wood
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Wood, John & Polly - W163

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W163 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W410

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 9:46 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10410

Thank you for your comment, John and Polly Wood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10410. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 09:45:43PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10410

First Name: John and Polly
Last Name: Wood
State:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Wood, John & Polly -- W410

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W410 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W84

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 10:11 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10084

Thank you for your comment, John & Polly Wood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10084. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 10, 2011 10:10:35PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10084

First Name: John & Polly
Last Name: Wood.
State:
Zip:
Country: USA
Email: machjuan@yahoo.com
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Wood, John & Polly - W84

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John & Polly, Commenter ID No. W84 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (530) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, Polly, Commenter ID No. W452

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 10:39 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10452

Thank you for your comment, Polly Wood:

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10452. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 10:38:13PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10452

First Name: Polly
Last Name: Wood
Address: P.O. Box 962
City: Hood River
State: OR
Zip: 97031
Country: USA
Email: polly@pollysakes.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Wood, Polly - W452

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, Polly, Commenter ID No. W452 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John, Commenter ID No. W87

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, June 11, 2011 11:23 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10087

Thank you for your comment, john wood.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10087. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 11, 2011 11:23:08PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10087

First Name: john
Last Name: wood
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Wood, John - W87

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Wood, John, Commenter ID No. W87 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Woolpert, Steven, Commenter ID No. W343

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 22, 2011 8:43 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10343

Thank you for your comment, Steven Woolpert.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10343. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 22, 2011 08:42:50PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10343

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Woolpert
City: Lyle
State: WA
Zip: 98635
Country: USA
Email: swoolpert@cwcmh.org
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. The DEIS also ignores the potential environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Woolpert, Steven - W343

3
4
5

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Woolpert, Steven, Commenter ID No. W343 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Steven Woolpert

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Young, Patricia, Commenter ID No. W376

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 4:26 PM
To: mail_gtccisarchives; gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov; gtccis@anl.gov
Subject: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10376
Attachments: ColumbiaRiverGorge_GTCC10376.pdf

Thank you for your comment, Patricia Young.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10376. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 04:25:21PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10376

First Name: Patricia
Last Name: Young
State: OR
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record
Attachment: ColumbiaRiverGorge.pdf

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge—not propose more dangers to this national treasure. The beautiful sanctuary of the Columbia River Gorge must be preserved for future generations!

3
4
5

Young, Patricia - W376

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Young, Patricia, Commenter ID No. W376 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and deep consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Young, Patricia, Commenter ID No. W376 (cont'd)



*Stunning views,
towering hemlocks,
and waterfalls
of the Columbia
River Gorge.*

*Let the beauty of the
Pacific Northwest
reign forever!*



3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zachman, John, Commenter ID No. W219

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:15 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10219

Thank you for your comment, John Zachman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10219. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:15:06AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10219

First Name: John
Last Name: Zachman
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Zachman, John - W219

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zachman, John, Commenter ID No. W219 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

John Zachman

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zettergren, Judy, Commenter ID No. W136

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:29 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10136

Thank you for your comment, Judy Zettergren.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10136. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:29:03PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10136

First Name: Judy
Middle Initial: G
Last Name: Zettergren
Country: USA
Email: jzett@comcast.net
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Zettergren, Judy -- W136

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zettergren, Judy, Commenter ID No. W136 (cont'd)

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zimmerman, Joni, Commenter ID No. W101

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:08 PM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10101

Thank you for your comment, Joni Zimmerman.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10101. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:07:19PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10101

First Name: Joni
Last Name: Zimmerman
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Zimmerman, Joni - W101

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zimmerman, Joni, Commenter ID No. W101 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zucker, Marguery, Commenter ID No. W421

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 2:00 AM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10421

Thank you for your comment, Marguery Zucker.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10421. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 02:00:08AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10421

First Name: Marguery
Middle Initial: L
Last Name: Zucker
Address: 1966 Orchard St.
City: Eugene
State: OR
Zip: 97403
Country: USA
Email: lee@thelocomotive.com
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Zucker, Marguery -- W421

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Zucker, Marguery, Commenter ID No. W421 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcceiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W99

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:07 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10099

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10099. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:06:56PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10099

First Name: |
Last Name: |
Country: |
Email: |
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Dear Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

I am writing to urge you to remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W99

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W99 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W110

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 7:20 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10110

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10110. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 07:20:00PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10110

First Name:
Last Name:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W110

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W110 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W132

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:11 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10132

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10132. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:10:48PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10132

First Name:
Last Name:
State:
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W132

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W132 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Amy Rosenthal

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W141

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:50 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10141

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10141. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:49:33PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10141

First Name:
Last Name: !
Address:
City: !
State:
Zip: !
Country: !
Email: !
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W141

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W141 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anf.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W144

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 8:56 PM
To: mail_gtccsisarchives; gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov; gtccsis@anl.gov
Subject: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10144
Attachments: Secretary_Chu_6-15-11_GTCC10144.doc

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10144. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 08:55:31PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10144

First Name:
Last Name:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record
Attachment: Secretary Chu 6-15-11.doc

Comment Submitted:
See attached Word document/ letter.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W144

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W144 (cont'd)

June 15, 2011

Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W174

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:03 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10174

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10174. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:02:32PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10174

First Name:
Last Name:
State:
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W174

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W174 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W179

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Wednesday, June 15, 2011 11:32 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10179

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10179. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 15, 2011 11:31:23PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10179

First Name:
Last Name: :
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W179

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W179 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W191

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:41 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10191

Thank you for your comment, I

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10191. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:41:02AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10191

First Name:
Last Name:
State: '
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W191

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W191 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W193

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 1:33 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10193

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10193. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 01:32:52AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10193

First Name:
Last Name:
Country: 1

Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W193

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W193 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W220

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:16 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10220

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10220. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:15:44AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10220

First Name:
Last Name:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W220

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W221

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:16 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10221

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10221. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:16:18AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10221

First Name:
Last Name:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study which estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W221

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W221 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W228

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:44 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS, Comment GTCC10228

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10228. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:44:08AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10228

First Name: :
Last Name: (:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please don't add more nuclear waste to Hanford Nuclear Reservation. There are already nuclear waste leaks into the Columbia River that are creating a difficult clean up problem. Cleanup should be the top priority at this point.

Bringing more nuclear waste would mean thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste travelling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

Also, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimating that 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of this matter.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W228

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W237

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:15 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10237

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10237. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:14:43AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10237

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W237

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W237 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W239

From: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 11:22 AM
To: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10239

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10239. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 11:21:33AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10239

First Name:
Last Name:
Country
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simple too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W239

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W249

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 12:27 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10249

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10249. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 12:26:34PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10249

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name: I
City:
State:
Zip:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W249

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W249 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W261

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 2:17 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10261

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10261. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 02:16:48PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10261

First Name:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W261

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W261 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Colleen Wright

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W282

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 16, 2011 10:18 PM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10282

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10282. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 16, 2011 10:18:06PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10282

First Name
Last Name
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W282

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W282 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Welcome back!

For your convenience, we have filled out your form with the information you provided previously from postal code 97201.

Click to see and manage your information.

Not megzster8@charter.net? Please click here. Thank you!

Email*

Sending your message, please wait ...

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W314

From: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Sunday, June 19, 2011 2:07 AM
To: gtceiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10314

Thank you for your comment, *

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10314. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 19, 2011 02:07:27AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10314

First Name:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman;

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W314

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W314 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W324

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 1:33 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10324

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10324. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 20, 2011 01:33:06PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment; GTCC10324

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip
Country:
Email:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W324

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W324 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W366

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 3:36 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10366

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10366. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 03:35:30PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10366

First Name:
Last Name:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W366

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W366 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W386

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 5:16 PM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10386

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10386. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 05:15:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10386

First Name:
Last Name
State:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W386

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W386 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W387

From: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 5:16 PM
To: gtccsiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10387

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10387. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 05:15:59PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10387

First Name:
Last Name: '
State:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W387

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W387 (cont'd)

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W403

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Thursday, June 23, 2011 8:10 PM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10403

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is **GTCC10403**. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 23, 2011 08:10:00PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10403

First Name:
Last Name:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold address only from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmant

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W403

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W426

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 10:33 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10426

Thank you for your comment,

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10426. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 10:32:35AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10426

First Name:
Middle Initial:
Last Name:
Address:
City:
State:
Zip:
Country:
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USD OE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W426

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W426 (cont'd)

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W439

From: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Friday, June 24, 2011 2:21 PM
To: gtcc@webmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10439

Thank you for your comment, !

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10439. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 24, 2011 02:20:33PM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10439

First Name:
Middle Initial
Last Name:
Country:
Email: _____
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record

Comment Submitted:

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W439

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W439 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

For questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-
than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W469

From: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Saturday, June 25, 2011 11:31 AM
To: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10469

Thank you for your comment.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10469. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 25, 2011 11:31:09AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10469

First Name:
Last Name:
Country: USA
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelmann

Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States. Hanford is the wrong place to transport and dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material.

Hanford is already the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy is already engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along Interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W469

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W469 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Krista Reynolds

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtcciswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W519

From: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Sent: Monday, June 27, 2011 1:05 AM
To: gtccseiswebmaster@anl.gov
Subject: Receipt: Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Comment GTCC10519

Thank you for your comment

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is GTCC10519. Please refer to the comment tracking number in all correspondence relating to this comment.

Comment Date: June 27, 2011 01:05:00AM CDT

Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Draft Comment: GTCC10519

First Name:
Last Name:
Country
Privacy Preference: Withhold name and address from public record

Comment Submitted:
Secretary Chu and Mr. Edelman:

Hanford Nuclear Reservation is the wrong place to dispose of more highly dangerous radioactive material. Please remove the Hanford Nuclear Reservation from the U.S. Department of Energy's list of candidate sites for a permanent nuclear waste dump site to store radioactive materials coming from across the United States.

Hanford already is the most contaminated site in the Western Hemisphere and the Department of Energy already is engaged in one of the largest and most complex cleanup projects in U.S. history at Hanford. The number one priority should be to stop waste from leaking into the Columbia River and clean up the existing waste at Hanford. No new nuclear waste should be stored at Hanford.

This proposal means that thousands of trucks with dangerous radioactive waste would be traveling along interstate routes, passing through our cities and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. I-84 travels the length of the Gorge and is often within a few feet of homes, schools, critical wildlife habitat and the Columbia River. The risk of an accident is simply too great, and the environmental and human health costs are unacceptable.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) fails to consider the risks involved in transporting these waste materials to Hanford. The DEIS does not include a 2008 USDOE study that estimated 800 adult cancer deaths would occur due to ambient radiation from the transport vehicles alone. Nor does the DEIS include the unimaginable number of deaths and environmental damage resulting from a truck accident, an earthquake or an intentional attack.

Finally, on the 25th Anniversary of the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area Act, we should celebrate the past and future protection of the Columbia Gorge--not propose more dangers to this national treasure.

I am joined in opposition to transporting more nuclear waste to Hanford by Friends of the Columbia Gorge, Heart of America Northwest, Columbia Riverkeeper, 17 Oregon legislators, Congressman Earl Blumenauer, U.S. Senator Merkley, U.S. Senator Wyden and many others.

3
4
5

Name Withheld - W519

1
2

Friends of the Gorge Campaign
Name Withheld, Commenter ID No. W519 (cont'd)

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Questions about submitting comments over the Web? Contact us at: gtccelswebmaster@anl.gov or call the Greater-Than-Class-C Low-Level Radioactive Waste EIS Webmaster at (630) 252-5705.

3
4
5
6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

This page is intentionally left blank.

1 J.3.8 Brookdale Senior Living Petition

2
3 Table J.3-8 tabulates all individuals who submitted signatures on the Brookdale Senior
4 Living Petition. The comment is identified in brackets on the left side of the page, and the
5 corresponding response is shown on the right side. The comment document with signatures (the
6 first person to sign was Stochosky, Rita Jean; the 62 signatures that followed were attached),
7 comment identified in that document, and response are presented here in Section J.3.8 on
8 pages J-2467 through J-2471, as indicated in the table.
9

10
11 **TABLE J.3-8 Individuals Who Signed the**
12 **Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Comment**
13 **Document ID No. L85**

Last Name, First Name	Page No.
Armstrong, Richard	J-2470
Aust, Leona	J-2470
Bilderain, Deborah	J-2468
Bowman, Patricia	J-2468
Brown, Ethyl	J-2470
Cool, Sue	J-2469
Eng, Margaret	J-2470
Estrella, Veronica	J-2471
Fontanez, Sonia	J-2471
Forshen, Charles	J-2470
Fosskey, Ruby	J-2468
Fox, Gary	J-2468
Fredman, Louise	J-2469
Horton, William	J-2468
Hunter, Kathryn	J-2470
Johnson, Claudia	J-2470
Kasper, Margaret	J-2468
Kirk, Sandra	J-2471
Kramer, Trudy	J-2470
Lechner, Hilary	J-2468
Lewis, Linda	J-2468
Lucero, Ann	J-2470
Lucero, Marsha	J-2470
Marin, Matthew	J-2469
McDaniel, Erma	J-2470
McLaughlin, Margaret	J-2468
Morelli, Erin	J-2469
Morelli, Frank	J-2470
Noah, Rose	J-2469
Petit, Francie	J-2470
Purvee, Alexis	J-2468
Roberts, Barbara	J-2469
Spinker, Sally	J-2469
Slope, Leslie	J-2471

Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Commenter ID No. L85
(Stochosky, Rita Jean, and 62 others)

Jun. 26. 2011 10:19AM

Brookdale senior living

No. 2141 P. 3

received
JUN 27 2011

June 19, 2011

Arnold Edelman
Document Manager, Office of Technical & Regulatory Support (EM-43)
US Department of Energy
1000 Independence Ave SW
Washington, DC 20585-0119

To Mr. Arnold Edelman

We agree wholeheartedly with the views expressed by Senator Ron Wyden (D-OR) and Sam Adams, Mayor, Portland, Oregon, in their letters presented May 19, 2011 at the DOE's public hearing in Portland, Oregon. We urge the following actions:

- > Ending proposals to import off-site waste to Hanford
- > Focus on the core mission of "Clean-up First"
- > Meet the legally required clean-up schedule
Clean-up is years behind schedule and more waste dumped at the site will make matters worse.

We would also like to bring the obvious to your attention:

- > Hanford is located in a seismically active site and sits on the Pacific Rim of Fire, surrounded by active volcanoes.
- > Hanford is located only 50 miles from a major metropolitan area inhabited by 3+ million people
- > Hanford sits on the Columbia River, a major international route for the Pacific Northwest
- > Hanford straddles spawning grounds and migration routes for salmon, sturgeon and trout
- > Trucking the nuclear, radioactive waste across the country and through Oregon puts our citizens at risk.

Along with our elected officials and DOE's own scientists we urge the aforementioned actions. Thank you for your attention in this matter.



Rita Jean Stochosky
3110 19th Ave
Forest Grove, OR 97116

see signatures attached

L85-1

DOE's ROD 78 FR 75913 dated December 13, 2013, stated that DOE has deferred a decision on importing waste from other DOE sites (with limited exceptions as described in the Settlement Agreement with Ecology) for disposal at Hanford at least until WTP is operational. For information on DOE's preferred alternative see GTCC EIS Chapter 2.

L85-1

Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Commenter ID No. L85

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste greater than Class C

Name - Print	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not
NAME WITHHELD		Do NOT
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not
GARY L FOX SR	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove OR 97116	
Carlos J. White	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove, OR 97116	
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not
MARGARET McLAUGHLIN	3110 19th FOREST GROVE OR.	
Axis Purva	2524 Gales Way Forest Grove, OR 97116	Record*
Lesly Zankay	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove, OR 97116	

Name	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
Hilary Lechner	312 NE Sequoia Hillsboro OR 97124	
Patricia Bowman	16600 NE Hwy 240, Nedsburg, OR 97132	
Margaret Kasper	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove, OR 97116	
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not Record
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not Record
Lesly Tatum	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove, OR	
Lesly Lewis	3110 19th Ave Forest Grove, OR	
William Hunter	" "	
Deborah Bildeman	33025 Meadowbrook Dr Scappoose, OR	

Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Commenter ID No. L85 (cont'd)

Jun. 26. 2011 10:21AM Brookdale senior living No. 2141 P. 9

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste

Name	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
Eva Witt	4016 SW Stoddard Dr. Aloha OR 97007	Record
Ledy 21 Sprinkles	8110 19th Ave Forest Grove OR 97116	
Stella Wirick	7110 19 Ave. 404 Forest Grove	
Don	3971 Sw. Oakview Ct. Corvallis	
Suzanna Roberts	2119 NE 158 Ave Portland OR 97231	
Mathew Marin	2530 Douglas St. Forest Grove, 97116	
Roni Noah	320 Park St. Hanford OR 97119	
Marilyn Krizman	2110 19th Ave #118 Forest Grove OR 97116 (record)	
Sue Cool	2737 Ballard Way. Forest Grove OR 97116 (record)	
Erin Morelli	435 Reuter Ln Forest Grove OR 97116 (record)	
Louise Friedman	3110 19th #10 Forest Grove, 97116	
Mindy	7400 SW Lee Rd Gaston, OR 97119	

Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Commenter ID No. L85 (cont'd)

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste greater than Class C

Name - Print	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
Marsha Lucero	3709 22 nd Ave SE	
Claudia Johnson	64420 Nehalem Hwy N Vernonia, Or 97064	
Tom Lucero	Forest Grove, OR 97116	
Kathryn J Hunter	3110 19 th Ave apt 229 Forest Grove, Or 97116	
Richard C. Kramer	3110 19 th Ave Apt 305 97116	
Lochl M. Brown	3110 19 th Ave #323, Forest Grove, Or 97116	
Terrence McDaniel	3110 19 th Ave Forest Grove, Or	
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not Record
CHARLES K Fosley	3110 19 th Ave #301 F.G. Ore 97116	

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste greater than Class C

Name - Print	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
Leona Aust	3110, 19 th Ave Forest Grove OR	NO
Tudy Kramer	28930 NW Columbia St Gaston OR	DN
Ed Stoy	3110 19 th Ave	DO
Frank P. Mavelli	3110-19 th Ave	DO
Francis P. Pitt	3110 19 th Ave	NO
MARGARET ENG	39125 MEINIG CT SANDY OR 97055	REC.
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not
NAME WITHHELD		NO
NAME WITHHELD		NO

Brookdale Senior Living Petition, Commenter ID No. L85 (cont'd)

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste greater than Class C

Name - Print	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not
NAME WITHHELD		NO
NAME WITHHELD		NO
Leah Selzer	74283 Fern Hill Rd Rainier Oregon	Record
NAME WITHHELD		NO
NAME WITHHELD		No
NAME WITHHELD		No
NAME WITHHELD		Do Not record
NAME WITHHELD		NO

We the undersigned oppose DOE's proposal to make Hanford the national dumpsite for radioactive and chemical waste greater than Class C

Name - Print	Address	Record/Do Not Record in Public Record
NAME WITHHELD		No
Sandra L. Kirk	2705 SE Country Rd Milwaukie OR 97122	yes
NAME WITHHELD		NO
Sonia Fontana	#5110 19 th Ave. Trout Grove OR 97116	yes
Veronica Estrella	17180 SW Heritage at Apt 128 Beaverton OR	yes

This page is intentionally left blank.

J.4 REFERENCES

Argonne (Argonne National Laboratory), 2010, *Supplement to Greater-Than-Class-C (GTCC) Low-Level Radioactive Waste and GTCC-Like Waste Inventory Report*, ANL/EVS/R-10/1, Argonne, Ill., Oct.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy), 1997, *Final Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage, and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste*, DOE/EIS-0200-F, Office of Environmental Management, Washington, D.C., May.

DOE, 2012, *Final Tank Closure and Waste Management Environmental Impact Statement for the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington (TC & WM EIS)*, DOE/EIS-0391, Office of River Protection, Richland, Wash., Nov.

DOE, 2013, *Strategy for the Management and Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High Level Radioactive Waste*, Jan.

This page is intentionally left blank.