



Special ISM Champions Workshop

DOE Forrestal HQ Building and Video and Web Conferencing Washington, DC

May 15-16, 2013

DOE Response to the DNFSB Technical Report Task 2B

Roger Claycomb

Work Control Program Manager

DOE Idaho Operations Office



Action 2

Strengthen guidance and formality associated with contractor implementation and Federal monitoring of activity-level WP&C



Task 2B

Develop a DOE Guide on Federal oversight and evaluation of the effectiveness of Activity-Level WP&C

Task 2B

- This document will be developed consistent with formal DOE processes, including the involvement of the Directives Review Board, and RevCom review and comment resolution process
- DOE-G 226.1-2 will be modified to include the DOE WP&C Oversight Guidance



Task 2B

The technical content of the guide will be designed to measure effectiveness of WP&C systems and identify situations in which the desired outcome is not achieved.



Task 2B

The guidance will contain a clear set of expectations and criteria to evaluate the effectiveness of contractor's WP&C processes in ensuring safe and effective work activities



Task 2B

The guidance will share best practices on oversight, rather than promoting a single acceptable approach to WP&C

Oversight Guidance Basis

- The DOE requirements and guidance documents for ISM and Line Oversight and Contractor Assurance Systems
- The EFCOG guidance document, where appropriate and applicable, including any subsequent updates made by EFCOG in response to DOE comments resulting from the 30-day review
- DOE analysis of activity-level WP&C lessons learned, best practices, and operating experience program

Oversight Guidance Basis (cont)

- Current DOE requirements and expectations governing the activity-level WP&C implementation
- Lessons learned from implementation of ongoing DOE improvement actions
- Ongoing efforts to assess and improve safety culture across the DOE complex

Task 2B Team

- Don Rack, EM (Team Lead)
- Jim Winter, NNSA
- Carl Sykes, NNSA
- Dick Crowe, NNSA
- Marcus Hayes, NNSA
- Robert Boston, NE
- Jay Larson, SC

- David Weitzman, HSS
- Tom Staker, HSS
- James Coaxum, HSS
- Scott Nicholson, DOE-SRO
- Roger Claycomb, DOE-ID
- Pete Rodrik, NNSA-LSO
- Ted Pietrok, DOE-PNSO

Oversight Roles

- Facility Representatives
- Subject Matter Experts (IS, IH, RadCon, QA)
- Management
- WP&C SMEs
- Analysis/trending
- HQ line elements
- HSS

Levels of Oversight

- Planned (formal assessment, surveillances, ISM phase I and II reviews, etc)
- For Cause
- Operational Awareness
- Contractor Assurance System oversight of WP&C
- Shadowing contractor oversight
- Effectiveness reviews

Disposition of Oversight Results

- Documentation of oversight results
- Analysis of oversight results
- Trending of oversight results
- Collective significance of oversight results
- Communicating oversight results to DOE management and to the contractor
- Oversight performance objectives/metrics

Task 2c CRAD Usage Options

- Incorporation into scheduled formal oversight
 - Programmatic CRADS used during ISMS Phase I reviews or after contractor makes significant WP&C program changes
 - Implementation CRADs used during ISMS Phase II reviews,
 ORRs, and covered over pre-determined period
- Tailored for different types of work
 - High hazard, high complexity
 - Skill of the Worker/Craft
- Operational awareness



Questions?