

establish, operate, and improve Special Alternative Instructional Programs.

Deadline for transmittal or applications: April 24, 1987.

Deadline for intergovernmental review comments: June 23, 1987.

Applications available: March 11, 1987.

Available funds: \$1,000,000.

Estimated average size of awards: \$60,000.

Estimated number of awards: 17.

Additional factors: In accordance with 34 CFR 501.32(b), the Secretary, in evaluating applications under the published criteria, distributes an additional 15 points among the factors listed in §501.32(a) as follows: Historically underserved (4 points); Relative need (4 points); Geographic distribution (3 points); Relative number and proportion of children from low-income families (4 points).

Applicable regulations: (a) The Special Alternative Instructional Programs Regulations, 34 CFR 500-501, and (b) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations, 34 CFR Parts 74, 75, 77, 78, and 79. (Note: Parts 500-501 were published in the Federal Register on June 19, 1986, at 51 FR 22422).

For applications or information contact: Robert Trifiletti, Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages Affairs, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW. (Room 421, Reporters Building), Washington, DC 20202. Telephone: (202) 245-2609.

Program authority: 20 U.S.C. 3231(A) (1).

Dated: March 2, 1987.

Carol Pendas Whitten,

Director, Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages Affairs.

[FR Doc. 87-4892 Filed 3-6-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Atomic Energy Agreements; Proposed Subsequent Arrangement With Spain

Pursuant to section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160) notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Spain concerning Civil Use of Atomic Energy, and the Additional Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM)

concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the aboved-mentioned agreements involves approval of the following retransfer: RTD/EU (SP)-16, for the transfer of approximately 125 grams of plutonium (approximately 80 percent fissile) from Spain to Belgonucleaire, Dessel, Belgium, for use in research and development of mixed oxide uranium-plutonium fuels.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

For the Department of Energy.

Date: March 4, 1987.

George J. Bradley, Jr.,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for International Affairs and Energy Emergencies.

[FR Doc. 87-4920 Filed 3-6-87; 8:45am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Intent To Award a Cooperative Agreement

AGENCY: Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of Restricted Eligibility for the Award of Cooperative Agreement Number DE-FC01-87RW00124 to the National Congress of American Indians (NCAI).

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM) announces that pursuant to 10 CFR 600.7(b), it is restricting eligibility for the award of Cooperative Agreement Number DE-FC01-87RW00124 to the National Congress of American Indians (NCAI). This cooperative agreement will ensure the participation of Indian tribal government organizations in planning and developing transportation and facilities for the disposition of high-level waste and spent nuclear fuel as provided by the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (NWPA).

Eligibility: Award of this cooperative agreement has been limited to NCAI because of its unique qualifications as a non-profit Indian organization and its familiarity with the project. NCAI serves the interests of 150 American Indian and Alaskan Native governments representing 850,000 people, and has developed and demonstrated cumulative background knowledge and expertise in dealing with issues and activities

associated with the NWPA. Only NCAI provides the demonstrated capability to provide this needed liaison activity at this time.

The term of this cooperative agreement shall be sixty months, and the estimated value is \$1,247,520.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Procurement Operations, ATTN: Karen A. McDonald, MA-453.2, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

Issued in Washington, DC, on February 26, 1987.

Stephen J. Michelsen,

Director, Contract Operations Division "B", Office of Procurement Operations.

[FR Doc. 87-4921 Filed 3-6-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Remedial Action; at the Weldon Spring Site; Availability Announcement; Intent To Conduct Public Meetings and Hearing

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of availability of draft environmental impact statement and of intent to conduct public meetings and hearing.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has published a draft Environmental Impact Statement (DOE/EIS-117D), Remedial Action at the Weldon Spring Site. Written comments are invited and three public meetings and a public hearing will be held with respect to the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS).

DATES: The public meetings are scheduled on March 13 and 14, 1987. The public hearing is scheduled on April 10, 1987. Written comments should be received by April 20 in order to insure consideration in preparation of the final environmental impact statement. Requests to speak and preferred times should be received at DOE by March 30, 1987.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the DEIS and requests to speak at the hearing should be addressed to: Rodney Nelson, Weldon Spring Site Remedial Action Project Office, U.S. Department of Energy, Route 2, Highway 94, South, St. Charles, Missouri 63303. Phone: (314) 441-8978.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION YOU MAY CONTACT:

1. Rodney Nelson at the address above.
2. Carol Borgstrom, Acting Director, Office of Environment, Safety and Health, Office of NEPA Project Assistance, U.S. Department

of Energy, Washington, DC 20585. Phone: (202) 586-4610

3. Henry Garson, Assistant General Counsel of Environment, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585. Phone: (202) 586-6947

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Previous Notice of Intent

The DOE issued a Notice of Intent on March 2, 1984, regarding the preparation of an EIS and conduct of public scoping meetings for the remedial actions at the Weldon Spring site.

II. Scope of the DEIS

The DEIS is issued by the DOE and it assesses the environmental impacts of several alternatives for long-term management of the wastes associated with remedial action activities at the Weldon Spring site located about 30 miles west of St. Louis, Missouri. The site is currently contaminated as a result of processing of uranium, thorium, and other materials previously carried out at the site. The Weldon Spring Site consists of four areas: raffinate pits, quarry, chemical plant, and vicinity properties. The alternatives considered are (1) long-term management in the existing raffinate pits with improved containment, (2) long-term management in the raffinate pits area in a new disposal cell (DOE's preferred alternative), (3) long-term management at another site, and (4) no action. Alternative 2 has two subalternatives: (a) the disposal cell is located partially above grade, and (b) the disposal cell is located completely above grade. Alternative 3 has three subalternatives: (a) Disposal of the wastes at Hanford, (b) disposal of the wastes at "nearby site" within 100 miles of Weldon Spring, and (c) reprocessing of the raffinate and quarry sludge at an existing facility in the Four Corners area with disposal of the remaining wastes in the existing raffinate pits. The potential geological, hydrological, radiological, chemical, ecological, air quality, land-use, and socioeconomic impacts associated with each alternative are assessed and compared for the remedial action period and for the long term.

III. Summary of Impacts

Implementation of any of the alternatives would permanently commit some land to waste management. The smallest land commitment would be 11 ha (28 acres) for Alternative 3c at Weldon Spring, neglecting the land commitment at the uranium processing site for storage of the mill tailings; the highest land commitment would be 120 ha (300 acres) for Alternative 3a at the Hanford site. Implementation of any of

the action alternatives would lead to increased risk of injury and death associated with transportation of wastes and fill materials, ranging from 0.096 deaths and 1.6 injuries for Alternatives 1 and 2a to 2.5 deaths and 34 injuries for Alternative 3a (transport of all wastes to the Hanford site).

Radiological impacts (health effects—primarily increased risk of death from cancer) would be insignificant for all alternatives. During the action period, radiation doses to the general public from implementing the action alternatives would range from 31 to 250 person-rem (0.0053 to 0.043 health effects). Workers would incur doses of 110 to 230 person-rem (0.019 to 0.039 health effects). During the long term, estimated cumulative radiological impacts over 1,000 years range from 130 to 520 person-rem (0.022 to 0.088 health effects) for the action alternatives and 11,000 person-rem (1.9 health effects) for the no-action alternative. For comparison, over 1,000 years the exposed population near the Weldon Spring site would receive 230,000,000 person-rem from background radiation and 4,200,000 cancer deaths would normally be expected from other causes.

For all action alternatives, radionuclides are not expected to reach the groundwater table under the disposal areas within 1,000 years. Several chemical species are expected to reach the groundwater table under the disposal areas within 1,000 years. However, maximum concentration contributions under the disposal areas and at the site boundaries are expected to be below regulatory limits.

If action is taken and the wastes are removed from the quarry, the maximum concentration contribution of uranium at the county well field is estimated to be 0.033 pCi/L in 800 years. This estimated concentration contribution is very small in comparison to the reported background uranium concentration of <1.5 to <3.6 pCi/L. If no action is taken and the wastes are not removed, the maximum concentration is estimated to be 0.2 pCi/L in 1,700 years.

IV. Comment Procedures

A. Availability of DEIS

Copies of the DEIA have been distributed to Federal, State, and local agencies, organizations, and to individuals known to be interested in the Weldon Spring Site remedial actions.

Additional copies may be obtained from Rodney Nelson at the address given above.

Copies of the DEIS are available for public inspection at the following:

St. Charles City-County Libraries—(1) Spencer Creek Branch, St. Peter's, MO., (2) Linnemann Branch, St. Charles, MO., (3) Corporate Parkway Branch, Wentzville, MO., and (4) O'Fallon Plaza Branch, O'Fallon, MO.

Lindenwood College, Butler Library, Environmental Education Section, St. Charles, MO.

B. Written Comments

Interested parties are invited to provide written comments on the DEIS to Rodney Nelson at the address given above. Comments should be identified on the outside of the envelope with the designation "DEIS Comments." All comments and related information should be received by DOE by April 20, 1987, in order to ensure consideration in preparing the final EIS.

Any material not accompanied by a statement of confidentiality will not be considered to be confidential. DOE reserves the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to its determination.

C. Public Meetings

Three public information meetings will be held close to the project site. The meetings are scheduled for Friday, March 13, at 1:00 p.m. at the Wentzville Ramada Inn, 900 Corporate Parkway, Wentzville, again at 7:00 p.m. at Hollenbeck Junior High, 4555 Central School Road, St. Charles, and on Saturday, March 14 at 10:00 a.m. at Lindenwood College, 200 College Drive, St. Charles. DOE will begin each meeting by explaining the organization of the DEIS and describing the information include in the document. To facilitate public review, after the formal presentation individuals will be afforded the opportunity to ask questions on the organization and content of the DEIS.

D. Public Hearing

1. Participation Procedure

A public hearing on the DEIS will be held on April 10, 1987, at Lindenwood College, 200 College Drive, St. Charles, at 7:30 p.m. A DOE official will designate a presiding officer to chair the hearing. This will not be a judicial or evidentiary-type hearing but solely for the purpose of providing the opportunity for public comment and input during the EIS process.

Any person who desires to speak at the hearing should notify Rodney Nelson by March 30, 1987, so that time can be scheduled. Although not required, persons who intend to speak are

encouraged to provide a brief summary of the presentation.

Individuals who did not make an advance arrangement to speak may register to speak at the hearing. After all scheduled speakers, an opportunity will be provided for these individuals to speak. Time for each participant will be limited depending on time available and the number of responses.

2. Conduct of Hearing

DOE will arrange the schedule of presentations to be heard and will establish basic rules and procedures for conducting the hearing. The length of each presentation may be limited, depending on the number of persons desiring to speak.

Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearing and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearing will be announced by the presiding officer at the start of the hearing.

A transcript of the hearing will be made and the entire record of the hearing, including the transcript, will be retained by DOE and made available for inspection at the same locations as listed above for review of the DEIS (Section IV A). Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

Issued at Washington, DC, 1987.

A. David Rossin,

Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy.

[FR Doc. 87-4822 Filed 3-6-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Economic Regulatory Administration

[Docket Nos. OFU 017, 018, 019, 020 and 021]

Order Granting Rescission of Certain Prohibition Orders Issued to Kansas Power and Light Co. Pursuant to the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974

AGENCY: Economic Regulatory Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Order granting rescission.

SUMMARY: The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE)¹ hereby gives notice

¹ Effective October 1, 1977, the responsibility for implementing ESECA was transferred by Executive Order No. 12009 from the Federal Energy Administration (FEA) to the Department of Energy pursuant to the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. 7101 et seq.).

that, acting under the authority granted to it is section 2(f) of the Energy Supply and Environmental Coordination Act of 1974 (ESECA), as amended (15 U.S.C. 792(f) and implemented by 10 CFR

Owner	Docket No.	Generating station	Unit No.	Location
Kansas Power and Light Company.	OFU-017	Lawrence	3	Lawrence, KS
	OFU-018	Lawrence	4	Lawrence, KS
	OFU-019	Lawrence	5	Lawrence, KS
	OFU-020	Tecumseh	9	Tecumseh, KS
	OFU-021	Tecumseh	10	Tecumseh, KS

This action is taken in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR Part 303, Subpart J ("Modification on Rescission of Prohibition Orders and Construction Orders") of the ESECA regulations. The basis for ERA's action is provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 9, 1987, in accordance with 10 CFR 303.10(a).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John Boyd, Office of Fuels Programs, Economic Regulatory Administration, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Room GA-093, Washington, DC 20585 Telephone (202) 586-6947

Steven E. Ferguson, Esq., Office of General Counsel, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Room GA-113, Washington, DC 20585 Telephone (202) 586-6947

The public file containing a copy of this Order and other documents and supporting materials on this proceeding is available upon request from DOE, Freedom of Information Reading Room, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Room 1E-190, Washington, DC 20585, Monday through Friday, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 15, 1986, KPL submitted an application for Rescission of Prohibition Orders to ERA regarding the above enumerated generating station units. KPL informed ERA that its Lawrence units 3 and 4 and Tecumseh units 9 and 10 will operate at annual capacity factors below 40 percent throughout their remaining useful life. Lawrence unit 5 will operate at an annual capacity factor below 45 percent throughout its remaining useful life. These units are expected to be used only for peaking or intermediate duty. Rescission of the Prohibition Orders would allow KPL to realize fuel, generating capacity and maintenance cost savings which would benefit their customers. The Company estimates that it will save as much as six million dollars in 1987, in fuel costs if it switched completely to natural gas.

303.130(b), it is granting a request by The Kansas Power and Light Company (KPL) to rescind the prohibition orders issued on June 30, 1975, to the following powerplants:

In accordance with the procedural requirements of 10 CFR 303.134(a), ERA published its Notice of Acceptance of a request by KPL to rescind certain prohibition orders issued to the company pursuant to the ESECA in the *Federal Register* on December 4, 1986 (51 FR 43767), commencing a 45-day public comment period. During that period interested persons were also afforded an opportunity to request a public hearing. The period for submitting comments and for requesting a public hearing closed January 20, 1987. No comments were received and no hearing was requested.

Decision and Order: Accordingly, based upon information received from KPL and the entire record of this proceeding, ERA had determined that as a result of significantly changed circumstances with respect to the prohibition orders issued to KPL's Lawrence 3, 4 and 5 and Tecumseh 9 and 10 generating units as set forth in 10 CFR 303.136(b), that these powerplants should be allowed to operate as peakload or intermediate units. Pursuant to § 303.137(a) ERA hereby grants the rescission request of KPL and hereby orders that the prohibition orders to these units be rescinded.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 303.100(a) and (b), any person aggrieved by this order has not exhausted his administrative remedies until an appeal has been filed with DOE's Office of Hearing and Appeals and an order granting or denying the appeal has been issued. Such appeal must be filed within 10 days of the publication of this order in the *Federal Register* in accordance with the requirements of § 303.102.

Issued in Washington, DC on February 26, 1987.

Robert L. Davies,

Director, Office of Fuels Program, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 87-4824 Filed 3-6-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M