PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

STATE: MI

PROJECT TITLE : Spectral and Temperature-Dependent Optical Metrology: Towards More Robust, Effective and Durable Materials for Concentrated Solar Power

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0002378DE-EE0009819GFO-0009819-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.6 Small- scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to the University of Michigan to develop standardized spectroscopic measurement techniques, protocols, and procedures to determine radiative properties of select materials relevant to concentrated solar power. The project would be completed over one Budget Period (BP).

Measurement techniques would be developed to determine emittance and reflectance properties of materials used for concentrated solar power. Materials tested would include ceramics, metals, and commercially available spectrally selective paints, all of which would be purchased from commercial vendors. Radiative material property data would be collected using research grade spectrometers. Testing activities would include the use of optical accessories such as parabolic mirrors, lenses, and windows; vacuum pumping to test materials under evacuated conditions; air and inert gas purging to test performance of materials under oxidizing and inert environments; optical microscopy to complement radiative property measurements; and electrically heated equipment to perform calibration tests and investigate the effects of thermal exposure on the materials tested.

Modeling tools would be developed to interpret experimental data and provide insights on the effects of materials composition, temperatures, and environmental conditions on experimental measurements. An open-access and digitized database would be developed and maintained which would host and share experimental datasets obtained for the various materials tested. It would also include information on standardized measurement protocols and operating procedures for experimental equipment and techniques used for determining optical properties of materials.

University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, MI would oversee the project and perform measurements on the various materials at high temperatures, prepare samples, and conduct numerical modeling. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory would perform a subset of identical experiments in order to test the reliability of measurement techniques and to obtain reproducible experimental measurements.

No changes in the use, mission, or operation of existing facilities would be required as part of this project and no additional permits would be required in order to conduct any of the work activities.

Project activities would involve work at elevated temperatures and the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including metals, materials precursors, and chemicals. Any risks associated with the handling of these materials would be mitigated through adherence to established health and safety policies and procedures. Protocols would include employee training, the use of personal protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. All waste products would be disposed of by licensed waste management service providers. University of Michigan and its project partner would observe all applicable Federal, state, and local health, safety, and environmental regulations.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Solar Energy Technologies Office This NEPA determination does not require a tailored NEPA provision. Review completed by Shaina Aguilar on 1/5/22.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Signed By: Kristin Kerwin

Date: 1/6/2022

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- Field Office Manager review not required
- Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Date: