

PMC-EF2a

(20402)

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER  
NEPA DETERMINATION**



RECIPIENT: University of Utah

STATE: UT

**PROJECT TITLE :** Innovative Computational Tools for Reducing Exploration Risk Through Integration of Water-rock Interactions and Magnetotelluric Surveys

<b>Funding Opportunity Announcement Number</b>	<b>Procurement Instrument Number</b>	<b>NEPA Control Number</b>	<b>CID Number</b>
DE-FOA-0000522	DE-EE0005521	GFO-0005521-001	0

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

**CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:**

## Description:

**A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination**

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

**B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects**

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

## Rational for determination:

The University of Utah would utilize DOE and cost share funds to develop the framework and procedures required to relate reservoir permeabilities (from indicators of water-rock ratios), degree and type of clay alteration, and temperature to the electrical resistivities of geothermal systems as recorded by magnetotelluric (MT) surveys. Laboratory work would be conducted in the X-ray diffraction and fluid inclusion laboratories located at the Energy and Geoscience Institute (EGI), 423 Wakara Way, Research Park, Salt Lake City, UT.

This project includes two Phases but this NEPA review is for Phase I only. Prior to initiating Phase II activities, there would be a go/no-go decision point after which DOE would determine whether or not to fund Phase II activities. Additional NEPA review will be required if this project is selected to continue with Phase II activities.

## PHASE I – Proof of Concept – Feasibility Assessment

## 1. Sample Collection and Analyses

1.1. Collection of Rock Samples and Geothermal Data – Samples would be collected from the reservoir and caprock sections of selected existing wells from the two systems for petrographic and isotopic analyses. Samples would be selected from either EGI's sample library or provided from industry partners.

## 1.2. X-ray Diffraction and Petrographic Analyses

## 1.3. Whole-rock Oxygen Isotope Analyses

## 2. Collection and Interpretation of Magnetotelluric Data – existing MT data would be used

## 3. Develop the framework and procedures required to relate reservoir permeabilities to electrical resistivity data

## 4. Analysis and Validation

## PHASE II –Validation

Task 5 through 7 will require additional NEPA review if the project is selected to continue with Phase II activities.

There is no fieldwork associated with Phase I of this project.

According to the R&D laboratory questionnaire, no additional permits are needed and there would be no air emissions, liquid effluents, or toxic substances produced from this work during Phase I activities. Safety equipment such as fume hoods, eye showers and fire alarms are in place. All safety procedures and protocols are monitored internally by University personnel and are subject random inspections by OSHA.

Phase I Budget: \$693,151 (DOE) \$74,520 (cost share)



Phase I of this project is comprised of information gathering, analysis, and dissemination; and laboratory operations; therefore the DOE has categorized this into Categorical Exclusions A9 and B3.6.

**NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination for this award, and funding for certain tasks under this award is contingent upon the final NEPA determination.

Insert the following language in the award:

You are restricted from taking any action using federal funds, which would have an adverse affect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to DOE/NNSA providing either a NEPA clearance or a final NEPA decision regarding the project.

Prohibited actions include:

Phase II (all tasks)

This restriction does not preclude you from:

Phase I (all tasks and subtasks)

If you move forward with activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of the final NEPA decision, you are doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

Note to Specialist :

EF2a prepared by Casey Strickland

**SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.**

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

*Justin Ke*  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

12/1/2011

**FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION**

Field Office Manager review required

**NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:**

- Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office Manager's attention.
- Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

**BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :**

Field Office Manager's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Field Office Manager

Date: \_\_\_\_\_