

## **Sitewide Categorical Exclusion for Demolition and Disposal of Buildings**

### **Introduction**

As defined in the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Richland Operations Office Integrated Management System Procedure, *NEPA Analysis at Hanford*, a sitewide categorical exclusion is:

An application of DOE categorical exclusions described in 10 CFR 1021, Appendices A and B, which may apply to Hanford Site proposed actions (activities) that are “sitewide” in nature and extent, which the cognizant DOE Hanford NCO has determined fit within the scope (i.e., same nature and intent, and of the same or lesser scope) of DOE categorical exclusions described in 10 CFR 1021 Appendices A and B. The cognizant DOE Hanford NCO may issue specific sitewide categorical exclusions for use on proposed actions in which separate DOE approval to proceed is not required.

The nature of the proposed action subject of this sitewide categorical exclusion, as well as guidance to implement this exclusion as described herein, may be revised to reflect contemporary experience from the application of this exclusion; changes to, or development of, relevant policy and guidance; and changes to DOE's categorical exclusions resulting from future rulemakings.

### **Proposed Action**

The DOE's Richland Operations Office and Office of River Protection propose to demolish and dispose of surplus buildings and structures on and nearby the Hanford Site.

### **Location of Action**

On and near the Hanford Site, Richland, Washington.

### **Description of Proposed Action**

DOE's proposed action is to demolish and dispose of surplus buildings and structures on and nearby the Hanford Site. Structures include, for example, parking lots, sidewalks, utilities, gantries, and transmission lines and associated systems.

Under the proposed action, DOE would isolate, disconnect and remove utilities (for example, power, water and sewer), and disconnect, pack and/or remove machinery, equipment, or other items such as maintenance and custodial supplies or other materials. In addition, buildings and structures would be decontaminated as necessary. Materials recovered would be recycled, reused or disposed of, as appropriate.

Conventional methods would be used to demolish buildings and structures. For small buildings or structures, the building or structure would be pulled down either manually or mechanically using large hydraulic equipment, elevated work platforms, cranes, excavators or bulldozers. Larger buildings and structures would require the use of a wrecking ball swung by a crane into the building or structure. Other equipment that may be used includes rotational hydraulic shears and rock-breakers attached to excavators to cut or break through wood, steel, and concrete.

The resulting demolition debris would either be recycled or disposed of in onsite or offsite facilities, as appropriate.

The implementation of these proposed activities may generate materials and small (incidental) quantities of hazardous, radioactive, polychlorinated biphenyl and/or asbestos wastes. Consistent with DOE's procedures that implement NEPA (10 CFR 1021), DOE would undertake actions foreseeably necessary to implement this proposed action, and therefore would manage these wastes in compliance with DOE orders, and Federal and state regulations and guidelines. Wastes would be packaged, staged for transport, transported, and disposed of at onsite or offsite facilities. Incidental materials, such as excess communication cable or electrical wire, may be recycled, reused or disposed of.

In addition, DOE would move equipment and materials necessary to demolish and dispose of buildings and structures onto and within the Hanford Site, as necessary. These actions also may require temporary rerouting, isolation and/or disconnection of utilities, and the establishment of temporary structures.

Use of the equipment and associated vehicles would generate air pollutants from combustion and limited ground-disturbance, local noise levels would increase, water may be used for dust suppression, and nonrenewable resources such as petroleum products would be consumed. In all instances, the demand for resources and environmental impacts resulting from implementation of these proposed activities would be small and temporary in nature.

### **Applicable Categorical Exclusion**

DOE's Categorical Exclusion B1.23:

Demolition and subsequent disposal of buildings, equipment, and support structures (including, but not limited to, smoke stacks and parking lot surfaces).

### **Implementing Guidance**

This sitewide categorical exclusion may be applied to activities under the proposed actions to demolish and dispose of buildings and structures that are "sitewide" in nature and extent. For example, this exclusion may be implemented as a one-time yearly application in instances where

the proposed action would involve several buildings and structures located across the Hanford Site.

Application of this sitewide categorical exclusion requires compliance with the Richland Integrated Management System Procedure, *NEPA Analysis at Hanford*. Sitewide categorical exclusions are determined solely by the cognizant DOE Hanford NCO and are applied through a screening process which documents that the proposed action:

1. Fits within the scope of actions identified in a DOE Hanford NCO-approved sitewide categorical exclusion
2. Meets the eligibility requirements for Appendix B categorical exclusion (“integral elements”) of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, B(1) through B(4)
3. Is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)) or with cumulatively significant impacts (see 40 CFR 1508.25(a)(2))
4. Is absent extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action
5. Is not located on nor directly impacts the Hanford Reach National Monument, Rattlesnake Mountain, Gable Mountain, Gable Butte, within ¼ mile of the Columbia River, other known Traditional Cultural Properties, or properties of historic, archaeological or architectural significance designated by Federal, state or local governments or properties eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and
6. Is not located on nor cause direct impacts to sensitive species or their habitats, such as old-growth sagebrush.


This sitewide categorical exclusion may not be applied to facilities in which widespread and persistent contamination would need to be removed to enable demolition to proceed (i.e., more than incidental contamination). This exclusion may not be applied to the demolition of buildings and structures if explosives are proposed for such demolition. It also may not be applied at locations in which previously undisturbed land would be occupied by vehicles, equipment or temporary structures.

### **Compliance Action**

I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for Categorical Exclusion B1.23 and that there are no extraordinary circumstance related to this action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the action; this action is not “connected” to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts, and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211. All activities to be conducted under this Sitewide Categorical Exclusion Determination must be documented with the NEPA Review Screening Form (see Hanford Site Form RL-721) pursuant to *NEPA Analysis at Hanford* and demonstrably meet the criteria described in 1 through 6 above. Accordingly, I have determined that the proposed action may be categorically excluded from

further NEPA review and documentation. This exclusion is being implemented as a one-time yearly application for the proposed action described herein.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ralph W. Russell, DOE NEPA Compliance Officer

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date