



# U.S. Department of Energy

## Categorical Exclusion Determination Form

Program or Field Office: EECBG-RW

Project Title: Crittendon County Courthouse Window Replacement and Building Lighting Retrofit

Location: Arkansas Crittendon County

Proposed Action or Project Description:

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act:

Based on the conducted energy audit results, Crittendon County will: 1) Replace 515 light fixtures with fluorescent TS Lamps and ballasts, and 2) replace existing windows in the Crittendon Courthouse

**Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied:**

X - B2.5 Safety and environmental improvements of a facility, replacement/upgrade of facility components

X - B5.1 Actions to conserve energy

X- A1 - Routine administrative/financial/personnel actions

\*-For the complete DOE National Environmental Policy Act regulations regarding categorical exclusions, see Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021.1 [Click Here](#)

This action would not: threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders; require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, but may include such categorically excluded facilities; disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; or adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources (including but not limited to those listed in paragraph B.(4) of Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021). Furthermore, there are no extraordinary circumstances related to this action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the action; this action is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts, and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached) concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (as authorized under DOE Order 451.1B), I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA Compliance Officer: *Debraene J. Lawrence*

Date Determined: Mar 25, 2011

Comments:

Webmaster:

The applicant has coordinated the window replacement activity of this project with the Arkansas SHPO and awaiting the results of their review. The applicant will provide DOE with written documentation on the results of the Arkansas SHPO's review.