

PMC-EF2a

(2010)

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY  
EERE PROJECT MANAGEMENT CENTER  
NEPA DETERMINATION**



RECIPIENT: City of Akron

STATE: OH

**PROJECT TITLE :** Akron City ARRA-EECBG Act 11-Energy Efficiency Survey of Municipally-Owned Buildings

<b>Funding Opportunity Announcement Number</b>	<b>Procurement Instrument Number</b>	<b>NEPA Control Number</b>	<b>CID Number</b>
DOE-FOA-0000013	DE-EE0000703		0

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

**CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:**

## Description:

- A9** Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, audits), data analysis (including computer modeling), document preparation (such as conceptual design or feasibility studies, analytical energy supply and demand studies), and dissemination (including, but not limited to, document mailings, publication, and distribution; and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring.
- A11** Technical advice and planning assistance to international, national, state, and local organizations.

## Rational for determination:

The City of Akron intends to use EECBG funds to hire a consultant to conduct a comprehensive analysis of three City-owned facilities in order to determine the feasibility of energy efficiency retrofits. Buildings to be audited include the CitiCenter Building, the Municipal Building and the Stubbs Justice Center. Potential energy conservation measures will be generated for each of the three buildings being audited. A report will include a general building overview, an evaluation of the existing building and its existing mechanical and electrical systems, a baseline energy model calibrated against actual utility bills, an evaluation of various energy conservation measures and their cost to implement and a list of recommended energy conservation measures as well as building upgrades. The consultation fee includes funding to prepare an RFP for recommended energy efficiency retrofits.

If the City proceeds with recommended retrofits it would do so through different funding source.

The proposed project is consistent with activities outlined in A9 "Information gathering", and A11 "Technical advice", therefore, it has been categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

**NEPA PROVISION**

DOE has made a final NEPA determination for this award

Insert the following language in the award:

Note to Specialist :

This EF-2A was completed by Logan Sholar on 9-21-10.

**SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.**

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: Kristin Kerwin  
NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 9/22/2010

**FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION**